UNITED STATES TARIFF COMMISSION

STAINLESS-STEEL TABLE FLATWARE

Report on
Investigation No. 332-63 under
Section 332 of the
Tariff Act of 1930



TC Publication 305
Washington, D.C.
December 1969

UNITED STATES TARIFF COMMISSION

Glenn W. Sutton, Chairman

Penelope H. Thunberg

Bruce E. Clubb

Will E. Leonard, Jr.

Herschel D. Newsom

George M. Moore

Kenneth R. Mason, Secretary

Address all communications to
United States Tariff Commission
Washington, D.C. 20436

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Commission's judgement of the effects of the termination of	
the tariff-rate quota	
Information obtained in the investigation	12
U. S. tariff treatment	12
U. S. consumption	
U. S. producers	7.4
U. S. exports	
U. S. employment, wages, and productivity	
U. S. imports	. 17
U. S. producers' prices	. 19
Profit-and-loss experience of domestic manufacturers	20
Statistical appendix	23
TABLES 1/	
1. U.S. rates of duty under the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS) on stainless-steel knives, forks, and spoons in effect on December 31, 1967, and changes in the rates p suant to the Kennedy Round of trade negotiations	ur-
1A. U.S. rates of duty under the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS) on stainless-steel knives, forks, and spoons August 31, 1963-October 11, 1967	, A-2
2. Stainless-steel table flatware: Average ad valorem equivalents of the trade-agreement and the over-quota rates of duty in effect in 1965 and in 1966, based on imports in 1965 and 1966, respectively, by type of flatware, and by	A-3
2A. Stainless-steel table flatware: Average ad valorem equivalents of the rates of duty in effect on January 1, 1966, on January 1, 1968 and January 1, 1969 based on imports in 1966, 1968, and January-September 1969, respectively,	

^{1/} Where possible, the tables were given the same numbers as they had in appendix A to the Commission's report to the President on Investigation No. TEA-I-EX-3 under section 351(d)(3) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (TC Publication 217, September 1967). Several new tables have been added to the appendix to this report; they are given the designation A, B, or C, following the number of the table to which they most closely relate, i.e., Table 25B did not appear in the appendix to the Commission's 1967 report, but is closely related in subject matter to Table 25, which did appear in the last report. Table 26 did not appear in the Commission's 1967 report and consequently does not appear in this report.

		Page
3,	Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. rates of duty under the Tariff Act of 1930 (old schedule, superseded Aug. 31, 1963)Stainless-steel table flatware: Production and sales by U.S.	A-5
4.	manufacturers, U.S. imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1951-68, January-September 1968, and January-	A-6
5,	Table flatware: U.S. apparent consumption, by type, 1958-59, 1961-66, 1968, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969	A-7
6,	Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. manufacturers' inventories of finished flatware, and net sales, 1951-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969	A-8
7.	Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. producers' sales of patterns arrayed by average value of sales per dozen pieces, 1964-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969	
7A. 8.	Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. producers' sales of patterns arrayed by average value of sales per dozen pieces, 1964-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969-Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. exports of domestic	
0,	merchandise, 1951-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969	A-11
9.	Table flatware: Sales of all types of table flatware produced in U.S. establishments in which stainless-steel table flatware is produced, 1951-68, January-September 1968, and	
10.	January-September 1969	A-12
	establishments engaged in the production of stainless-steel table flatware, for all operations of the establishments and for production of stainless-steel table flatware alone,	
11,	1959-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969- Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. production; and employment, wages, and labor productivity in its manufacture,	A-13
12.	1951-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969- Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption of quota-type flatware, whether or not imported within or in excess of the quota, based on data reported by the U.S.	A-14
	Department of the Treasury, by months, November 1963- October 1967	A-15
13.	Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption, total and from Japan, by types, 1963-66, 1968, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969	A-16
14,	Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption, by countries, 1958-68, January-September 1968, and January-	
14A	September 1969	
	January-September 1968, and January-September 1969	A-18

		rage
15.	Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption, quota- and nonquota-type, by source, 1966, 1968, and January-September 1969	A-19
15A.	Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption, by type and kind, 1966 and 1968	
15B.		
16.		
16A.	Stainless-steel table flatware: Japanese exports to all countries and to the United States, 1967-68, January-August 1968, and January-August 1969	
17.	Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. importers' sales of patterns arrayed by average value of sales per dozen	
18.	pieces, 1964-66	,
19.	representative patterns by principal sources, 1966	
20.	prices of their best selling patterns in 1964, 1964-67 Stainless-steel table flatware: Indexes of U.S. importers' prices of their best-selling patterns in 1964, arrayed in groups on the basis of the average value of 1964 sales per dozen pieces, 1964-67	
21.	Stainless-steel table flatware: Indexes of U.S. importers' prices of their best-selling patterns in 1964, by source	
22.	of U.S. producers' and importers' sales (based on value), by type of sales outlets, 1956, 1966-68, January-September	
22A.	1968, and January-September 1969	A-29 A-30
23.	Stainless-steel table flatware: Indexes of U.S. producers' prices of their best-selling patterns in 1964, 1963-69	
24.	Stainless-steel table flatware: Indexes of U.S. producers' prices of their best-selling patterns in 1964, arrayed in groups on the basis of the average value of 1964 sales	
25.	per dozen pieces, 1963-69 Net profit-and-loss data on stainless table flatware for	A-32
•	U.S. manufacturers, 1959-69	A-33

		Page
25A.	Relationship of profit-and-loss experience on stainless- steel table flatware to profits on all products for U.S. manufacturers of stainless-steel table flatware, 1959-69	A-34
25B.	Stainless-steel table flatware: Ratios of aggregate net operating profit or loss to aggregate net sales on stainless-steel flatware operations, by type of pro-	
	ducers, 1959-69	A-35
27.	Stainless-steel table flatware: Aggregate profit-and- loss data for U.S. manufacturers (expressed in percent- ages) for their stainless-steel table flatware opera-	
÷	tions, 1959-69	A-36
28,	Stainless-steel table flatware: Capital expenditures by U.S. producers for domestic production facilities used primarily in the manufacture of stainless-steel table flatware, 1960-68, January-September 1968, and	
	January-September 1969	A-37

Introduction

On October 22, 1969, the United States Tariff Commission, on its own motion, instituted Investigation No. 332-63, under section 332 of the Tariff Act of 1930, concerning stainless-steel table flatware. A notice of investigation was released on October 24, 1969, and was published in the Federal Register. 1/ No public hearing was held in connection with this investigation; all interested parties, however, were invited to submit statements in lieu of appearance and a number of them did so.

The purpose of this investigation was to obtain, and to make available to interested persons both inside and outside of Government, data on the trade in stainless-steel table flatware pertaining to the period January 1967 through September 1969, supplementing those contained in the Commission's report of September 1967. 2/ The data included in the current report are intended to give the reader an understanding of the competitive relationships between imported and domestically produced stainless-steel table flatware and the effect of the imports upon U. S. producers.

Following an escape-clause investigation 3/, the President imposed a tariff-rate quota on imports of certain stainless-steel table flatware. $\frac{4}{2}$ This action became effective on November 1, 1959 and imposed increased rates of duty on imports entered in

^{1/ 34} F.R. 17465, October 29, 1969. 2/ No. TEA-I-EX-3. TC Publication 217, September 1967. 3/ Under section 7 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951. 4/ Proclamation No. 3323.

excess of the quota of 5.75 million dozen pieces. On January 7, 1966, the President, in accordance with the provisions of the Trade Expansion Act of $1962^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and following a report by the Tariff Commission $\frac{2}{2}$, relaxed the escape-clause restrictions by enlarging the tariff quota to 7.0 million dozen, and by reducing, but not eliminating, the increases in rates of duty applicable to such flatware entered in excess of the enlarged quota. $\frac{3}{2}$ This action was made retroactive to November 1, 1965.

According to the provisions of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 the remaining escape-clause restrictions were scheduled to terminate automatically at the close of October 11, 1967, unless extended by the President. $\frac{4}{}$ The President permitted the restrictions to expire subsequent to the submission of a report by the Tariff Commission. $\frac{5}{}$

In a letter dated April 10, 1969, the Stainless Steel Flatware

Manufacturers Association requested the President to reimpose the

modified tariff-rate quota applicable to stainless-steel table flatware

during the period November 1, 1965-October 11, 1967. On September 30,

1969 the United States reserved its right under Article XXVIII of the

General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) to modify or withdraw the

tariff concessions on the stainless-steel table flatware provided for

in items 650.08, 650.10, 650.38, 650.40, 650.54 and 651.75 in the

Tariff Schedules of the United States (1968). Imports of stainless-steel

table flatware covered by these items were formerly subject to the

tariff-rate quota. The President's decision must be communicated to the

¹/ Section 351(d)(1)(A).

^{2/} Investigation No. TEA-IA-5; TC Publication 152, April 1965.

 $[\]frac{3}{4}$ / Proclamation No. 3697. 4/ Section 351(c)(1)(B).

^{5/} Prepared according to Sec. 351(d)(3) of the Trade Expansion Act in response to a request for extension by the U.S. industry.

contracting parties to the GATT by no later than December 31, 1969.

In view of the general interest in the subject and, in part, in view of the President's probable need for a current assessment of the trends in imports vis-à-vis domestic production of stainless-steel table flatware since the termination of the tariff-rate quota, in making his determination of whether or not to make use of Article XXVIII, the Commission, on its own motion, initiated the current investigation.

Commission's Judgement of the Effects of the

*
Termination of the Tariff-Rate Quota

Two years have passed since the termination of the modified tariff-rate quota that was applicable to imports of quota-type stain-less-steel table flatware. Data submitted to the Commission and included in this report may not yet reflect the full adjustment of U.S. production and imports resulting from this termination.

Recent developments, some of which preceded the tariff-rate quota termination, but most of which occurred in 1969, appear to indicate that U. S. producers of stainless-steel table flatware, both large and small, have determined that they could not remain competitive with imports at the current rates of duty, or with their domestic competitors in the U. S. market, unless they supplemented their sales of domestic output with sales of the imported article. To this end, most of them have acquired foreign sources of supply.

The termination of the tariff-rate quota in 1967 effectively reduced the duty on quota-type stainless-steel table flatware from 27.3 percent to 20.7 percent ad valorem—a decline of 6.6 percentage points. Imports responded dramatically by increasing at an average annual rate of 66.8 percent in 1968 and 1969. Meanwhile, U. S. apparent consumption increased at an average annual rate of only 16 percent. As a result, imports have supplied an increasing share of U.S. consumption, rising from an average of 22.6 percent during the period 1961-66 (quota years)—compared with 40.3 percent in 1958, the last pre-quota year—to 48.8 percent in the first 9 months of 1969, almost equalling domestic production in the latter period.

^{*}N.B.--Throughout this report data for 1969 are based, at the most, on 9 months; those relating to profits in 1969 are especially subject to change.

The dramatic increase in imports since the termination of the modified tariff-rate quota exerted an observable downward pressure on U. S. producers' sales of domestically manufactured stainless-steel table flatware. Such sales were 8.5 percent lower in 1968 than they were in 1967. A further 1 percent decline is projected for 1969. This overall decline occurred despite impressive expenditures on the part of U. S. producers to improve their production facilities and in spite of their increased sales efforts.

U. S. producers have invested more in their production facilities during the 2 years and nine months since January 1, 1967 than they did during the preceeding seven years. In 1968 alone, such expenditures were two to three times larger than they were in 1966 — the previous highest year for such expenditures on record. The U. S. producers apparently undertook these expenditures recognizing that imports would increase, but anticipating the increase would be smaller than the projected increase in U. S. consumption, allowing a 7 to 10 percent increase in their sales of domestically produced stainless-steel table flatware. As a result of rapidly increasing imports, several U. S. producers were unable to realize their sales objectives; consequently, long-term plans for plant improvement or expansion have been curtailed. Moreover, considerable excess capacity has developed in the industry.

Since 1967, a marked increase has occurred in the total selling and administrative expenses of U. S. manufacturers. These expenses increased from 17 percent of net sales in 1967 to 23 percent in 1969. This increase reflects primarily increased selling expenses as U. S. producers attempted to maintain and, hopefully, to expand their U. S.

sales.

Despite increasing wages and material costs since 1959, there has been a long-term decline in the cost of goods sold in relation to net sales — from about 82 percent in 1959 and 1960 to about 70-73 percent in 1968 and 1969.1/ In part this reflects constant technological improvements and the installation of labor-saving devices which have raised average production per man-hour since 1958; since 1967 output of stainless-steel table flatware per man-hour has remained about constant.

Since 1966, for the U. S. stainless-steel table flatware industry as a whole, the wage cost per unit of output has increased by about 17 percent, and the average hourly wages paid, by about 15 percent. A number of small producers of stainless-steel table flatware employ most of their workers at the federally established minimum wage rate; this rate was increased on February 1, 1967, from \$1.25 per hour to \$1.40 per hour -- a 12 percent increase. It was further increased a year later to \$1.60 per hour -- an additional increase of 14 percent. Thus, the minimum wage rate was 28 percent higher in 1968 than it was in 1966. A secondary result of this increase was a comparable increase in wage rates for other workers employed in the same establishments. Meanwhile, productivity in such small establishments declined by 9 percent and wages per unit of output increased by 8 percent.

^{1/} Raw material costs have increased dramatically in the past few months of 1969, as nickel-stainless-steel (used in the production of all top-quality stainless-steel table flatware) has become increasingly scarce due to a strike of workers in Canada's nickel mines. These increased costs are probably too recent to have been reflected in data submitted to the Commission by U. S. producers.

Since 1967, U. S. production of stainless-steel table flatware has declined by about 12 percent, at least in part due to increased imports. This decline in production has brought about a concomitant decline in employment in the industry. Since 1967, the average number of all workers engaged in the production of stainless-steel table flatware, and the average number of production and related workers engaged in the production of such articles each declined by more than 9 percent. The total number of man-hours worked by production and related workers in the production of stainless-steel table flatware has declined by 9.5 percent since 1967.

Since the modification of escape-clause restrictions -- and even more so since their termination -- a number of U. S. producers of stainless-steel table flatware have increasingly supplemented their production with imports. In 1966, 6 U.S. producers were also importers; their imports accounted for 20.5 percent of the total quantity of U.S. imports for consumption, and were equivalent to 6.3 percent of the total quantity of U. S. producers' sales of domestically produced stainless-steel table flatware. In 1969, 10 U.S. producers were also importers; imports by these producers accounted for about a third of the quantity of total U. S. imports for consumption and were equivalent to 31 percent of the quantity of U. S. producers! sales of domestically produced stainless-steel table flatware. Imports by U. S. producers accounted for 4.9 percent of U. S. apparent consumption of stainless-steel table flatware in 1966, and to 16.1 percent in 1969. U. S. producers' combined sales of both imported and domestically produced flatware were lower in 1968 than they were

in 1967 when they achieved an all-time high. A comparison of sales data for the first nine months of 1969 with the comparable period of 1968 indicates, however, that sales during the full year 1969 will exceed 1967's by about 8 percent. Thus, in 1969, the U. S. producers, by having increased their sales of imported merchandise, may succeed in reversing the 1968 decline in their overall sales of domestic and imported stainless-steel table flatware, despite a continuing (1967-69) decline in their sales of domestic output. Some U. S. producers purchase their cheapest lines — as well as some of their medium-priced lines — abroad, either from their own plants or on contract; others, who specialize in the manufacture of very inexpensive stainless-steel table flatware, import their best, or more expensive, lines from abroad.

The impact of lower-priced imports on domestic producers is reflected in the clear shift in U. S. production toward higher-priced merchandise and a decline in the relative importance of lower-priced lines. Moreover, intense import competition has prevented most U. S. producers from raising prices in most lines to adjust fully for increased costs. 1/ U. S. producers' prices in 1969 were 10 percent higher than in 1966 while the average unit values of imports of stainless-steel table flatware were 3 percent lower than they had been in 1966. Price increases in some lines in 1968 and 1969 -- ranging from 9 to 12 percent -- may have encouraged some buyers to purchase imported flatware rather than domestically produced merchandise.

^{1/} One category in which U.S. producers were particularly unable to increase prices to reflect increased costs was in the \$2.00 - \$3.00 per-dozen-pieces price range.

U. S. producers were originally hard-hit in their sales of stainless-steel table flatware in the premium-ware market; 1/this market has accounted for about a fifth of U. S. producers' sales in recent years. They have begun to recoup some sales of domestic merchandise in this market in 1969; during the first 9 months of 1969, U. S. producers' sales in this category were larger, both absolutely and as a percentage of all U. S. producers' sales, than they were in the comparable period in 1968.

The domestic stainless-steel table flatware industry is increasingly dominated by 2 large producers (accounting for from two-thirds to three-quarters of total U.S. sales of domestic output) whose relative importance in domestic output has grown consistently and whose position in the U.S. market has been recently enhanced as they became significant importers as well. In the period 1966-69, these 2 firms accounted for virtually all of the capital expenditures made by the U.S. industry, thereby assuring their commanding position vis-a-vis their domestic competitors. These 2 producers were the principal beneficiaries of the tariff-rate quota on imports of stainless-steel table flatware as indicated by the increase in their sales -- in both relative and absolute terms -- virtually throughout the quota period. One of these producers has accounted for the major and increasing share of profits earned by the industry as a whole since 1959.

Excluding the 2 largest producers, the U.S. stainless-steel table flatware industry is one composed mainly of small establish-

^{1/} Premium ware is flatware sold for use in promotions, advertising, giveaways, and for similar purposes.

ments earning only small profit margins on their sales. Omitting the one most and the one least profitable U. S. producers from the computation, the ratio of net profits to net sales during 1964-69 averaged 2.5 percent; a small net loss was experienced during the first 6 months months of 1969.

Profits of all U. S. producers of stainless-steel table flatware were at an all-time high in 1967 -- equivalent to 10.7 percent
of net sales -- but by the first 6 months of 1969 they had plummeted
to 4.4 percent of net sales. 1/2/ The number of U. S. producers reporting a loss on their stainless-steel table flatware operations
increased from 2 in 1966 and 1967 to 6 in 1968; 5 manufacturers
reported a loss in 1969. The firms reporting net losses on their
domestic stainless-steel table flatware operations in 1967 accounted
for 3.8 percent of total U. S. sales of such flatware by all reporting domestic producers; in 1968 they accounted for 14.4 percent;
and in 1969, for 46.3 percent.

Those establishments producing predominantly stainless-steel table flatware, as a group, operated at a loss in both 1968 and 1969; they had been less profitable, since 1962, than those establishments that produced other products in substantial volume. The latter

^{1/} The 4.4 percent ratio of net profits to net sales experienced by the domestic industry in the first 6 months of 1969, although considerably lower than the profit-sales ratio in 1964-68, was about equal to the level experienced in 1962-63, years in which the most restraining quota and duty combinations were in effect.

^{2/} Data for 1969 are for 6-month accounting periods for virtually all reporting producers. Inasmuch as producers' sales, and perhaps profits, are generally higher in the last half of a calendar year than in the first half, the data reported herein for 1969 may not be representative of the full year.

group has operated at a profit in each year since 1959. During this period stainless-steel table flatware has been less profitable than other products manufactured by these U. S. producers in the same establishments. Profits on all products as a percent of sales ranged from 0.4 to 5.8 percentage points above the profit rate on stainless-steel table flatware alone. For reporting U.S. producers who were also importers, profit margins on sales of imported merchandise and on sales of domestic merchandise were about the same during 1967-69 (see page 22).

In 1958, Japan introduced a system of voluntary quotas applicable to exports of stainless-steel table flatware to the United States. With only a few exceptions, these quotas were set at the same levels as the U. S. tariff-rate quota on imports. Since the termination of U. S. escape-clause restrictions, Japanese export quotas have been adjusted upward to reflect increases in U. S. demand for Japanese stainless-steel table flatware, thus vitiating nearly all restraint which the voluntary quotas might have exercised on Japanese exports to the United States.

With burgeoning production of stainless-steel table flatware in other Far-Eastern countries and unrestrained Japanese exports, competition in the U. S. market for stainless-steel table flatware is likely to become increasingly intense.

On the basis of the foregoing facts, the Commission concludes that the injurious effects of imports on the domestic stainless-steel table flatware industry have been sufficient to warrant serious consideration of some form of relief. $\frac{1}{}$

¹/ Commissioners Thunberg and Newsom do not subscribe to the inclusion of this paragraph in the report.

Information Obtained In The Investigation

U. S. tariff treatment

Duties applicable to knives, forks, and spoons, valued under 25 cents each, not over 10.2 inches in overall length, and having stain-less-steel handles (i.e. quota-type), 1/ have remained unchanged since the termination of the tariff-rate quota on October 11, 1967, and were not subject to concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations (Kennedy Round) under the GATT (table 1). With respect to nonquota-type stainless-steel table flatware, on January 1, 1968 and 1969, respectively, the United States implemented the first 2 stages of duty reductions pursuant to the Kennedy Round of trade negotiations. These reductions in duty as well as those scheduled for January 1, 1970, 1971, and 1972, are shown in table 1.

The average ad valorem equivalent of the rates of duty applicable to quota-type stainless-steel table flatware was 20.7 percent in 1968, compared with 27.3 percent in 1966 when the modified tariff-rate quota was in effect (table 2A). This 6.6 percentage point reduction in the ad valorem equivalent of the duties between 1966 and 1968 reflects the effect of the termination of the tariff-rate quota.

The average ad valorem equivalents of the rates of duty applicable to nonquota-type stainless-steel table flatware were 18.4 percent in 1966, 15.0 percent in 1968, and 13.5 percent in the first

I/ For the sake of brevity, hereafter in this report such flatware will be referred to as "quota-type stainless-steel table flatware" and table flatware with stainless-steel handles not meeting the above definition will be referred to as "nonquota-type stainless-steel table flatware."

9 months in 1969. This 4.9 percentage point decline between 1966 and 1969 is attributable to reductions in duty pursuant to U. S. concessions granted in the Kennedy Round of trade negotiations. Duties paid as a percent of the value of imports, on imports of both quota- and nonquota-types of stainless-steel table flatware combined, averaged 22.8 percent lower in 1969 than they did in 1966.

U. S. consumption

U. S. apparent consumption of stainless-steel table flatware amounted to an estimated 38-39 million dozen in 1967 and increased by only 5-7 percent to 41.2 million dozen in 1968; consumption amounted to 36.7 million dozen in the first 9 months of 1969, a 25 percent increase over consumption of 29.4 million dozen in the comparable period in 1968 (table 4). 1/

The trends in U. S. apparent consumption of all types of table flatware, noted on page 14 of the Commission's September 1967 report, have probably accelerated since the termination of the tariff-rate quota on quota-type stainless-steel table flatware, and stainless-steel table flatware probably supplied a larger share of the increasing total table flatware consumption in 1968 and 1969 than in previous years (table 5).

^{1/} The 7.3 million dozen increase in consumption between the first 9 months of 1968 and the like period of 1969 was paralleled by a 7.5 million dozen increase in imports for consumption. Some U. S. producers contend that the bulk of these increased imports have not yet entered the normal channels of distribution, that U. S. consumption has not yet increased to the extent indicated, and that the full impact of these imports is yet to be felt in the market place. Other domestic producers do not follow this line of reasoning.

U. S. producers

During the period January 1967 - September 1969, the number of U. S. firms that produced stainless-steel table flatware remained the same. The number of plants that produced such flatware, however, was reduced by 2, from 21 to 19, as a result of the consolidation of the stainless-steel flatware operations in one new plant by one U. S. producer.

Several of the U. S. producers continued to make capital expenditures related to their stainless-steel table flatware production facilities (table 28). Such expenditures were twice to three times larger in 1968 than they were in 1966; the amount spent in 1968 was by far the largest amount spent on such facilities since 1960.

U. S. production, sales, and inventories

- U. S. production of stainless-steel table flatware decreased by 12.8 percent, from 30.4 million dozen pieces in 1967--an all-time high--to 26.5 million dozen pieces in 1968 (table 4); it increased slightly, however--by 1.4 percent--from 19.2 million dozen during the first 9 months of 1968, to 19.4 million dozen during the comparable period of 1969.
- U. S. producers' sales of domestic output declined from 29.4 million dozen pieces, valued at \$72.8 million, in 1967 to 26.9 million dozen pieces valued at \$70 million in 1968 (table 6); the decline amounted to 8.5 percent in terms of quantity and 3.8 percent in

terms of value. Sales registered a further decline from 19.4 million dozen pieces valued at \$49.8 million during the first 9 months of 1968 to 19.2 million dozen valued at \$47.9 million during the comparable period of 1969. This decline amounted to 1.0 percent in terms of quantity and to 3.7 percent in terms of value. Inventories during 1967-69 ranged between 4.0 and 4.3 million dozen pieces, or considerably higher than the 2.0-3.6 million dozen range which was recorded during the 1959-66 quota period (table 6). The ratio of inventories to net sales amounted to 14.6 percent in 1967, and to 15.0 percent in 1968; only in one other year since 1955 (1960) did inventories exceed 14 percent of net sales.

U. S. exports

Exports of stainless-steel table flatware by U. S. producers in 1968, were 30 percent larger in terms of quantity -- 44 percent larger in terms of value -- than they were in 1967 (table 8). In the first 9 months of 1969 they were about the same as they were in the comparable period of 1968. They remained relatively small (about 2 percent in 1968) in relation to total sales of such flatware by U. S. producers.

U. S. employment, wages, and productivity

Both the average number of all employees and the average number of production and related workers engaged in the production of stainless-steel table flatware declined by more than 9 percent between 1967 and 1969 (table 10). The average number of all employees engaged in the production of stainless-steel table flatware declined from 3763 to 3427; that of production and related workers from 3,013 to 2,737. The number of man-hours worked by these production and related workers, declined from 7.3 million in 1967 to 6.6 million in 1968, a decline of 9.5 percent. The number of man-hours worked during the first nine months of 1969, 4.9 million, was unchanged from the number worked during the same period in 1968.

Meanwhile, the average number of all persons employed in all operations of the establishments producing stainless-steel table flatware declined by only 2.3 percent -- from 7,413 workers in 1967 to 7,240 in 1969 (table 10). The average number of all production and related workers employed in all operations of these establishments declined from 5,816 workers in 1967 to 5,522 in 1969, or by 5.1 percent. The number of man-hours worked by the production and related workers in all operations of their establishments declined by 5.2 percent, from 14.1 million man-hours in 1967 to 13.4 million man-hours in 1968, a further decline of 1.1 percent occurred in the first 9 months of 1969.

Average wages paid per man-hour worked on stainless-steel table flatware increased by 4.1 percent from \$3.15 in 1967 to \$3.28 in 1968 (table 11). Such hourly wages averaged \$3.21 in the first 9 months of 1969, or 3.2 percent higher than the average wage of \$3.11 per man-hour recorded in the similar period in 1968.

The quantity of stainless-steel table flatware produced per man-hour, about 4 dozen pieces, remained about the same in the period 1967 - September 1969. Wages paid per dozen pieces produced increased about 8 percent, from 76 cents in 1967 to 82 cents in 1968. In the first 9 months of 1968 and 1969 they amounted to about 80 cents. The sales value per dozen pieces of stainless-steel table flatware produced per man-hour increased from \$10.28 in 1967 to \$10.38 in 1968 (an increase of about 1 percent) and declined to \$9.90 in the first 9 months of 1969 compared with \$10.04 in the comparable period in 1968.

U. S. imports

Imports of stainless-steel table flatware amounted to about 9.2 million dozen valued at \$11.2 million in 1966 (the first full year during which the liberalized tariff-rate quota system was in operation and the last full year prior to the termination of the tariff-quota system on such flatware) (table 14). It is estimated that in 1967 the quantity of imports entered was about the same as in 1966. 1/ In 1968 imports amounted to 14.9 million dozen

¹/ See note 4 in table 14.

valued at \$18.1 million, an increase of over 61 percent both in terms of quantity and of value over 1966 (table 14). During the period January - September 1969, imports amounted to 17.9 million dozen valued at \$21.2 million, an increase of 71.7 percent in terms of quantity and 65.3 percent in terms of value over the 10.4 million dozen valued at \$12.7 million imported during the first nine months of 1968. In 1966 imports supplied about 24 percent of U.S. consumption; in 1968 they supplied 36 percent (table 4). In the first 9 months of 1969 they supplied almost 49 percent.

Information from the trade indicates that the total number of importers of stainless-steel table flatware increased substantially since the termination of the tariff-rate quota. 1/ Among the new importers in 1968, were at least 4 U.S. producers, bringing the total number of such producer-importers to 10. Two of the 4 U.S. producers that began importing are the two largest U.S. producers of stainless-steel table flatware. Imports by U.S. producers accounted for about a third of the quantity imported in 1968 and in January-September 1969 (table 15B).

In 1968 and 1969, Japan remained the principal supplier of U.S. imports of stainless-steel table flatware (table 13). The share of total imports supplied by Japan decreased, however, from about three-fourths in 1966 to about two-thirds in 1968 and in the first 9 months of 1969. In absolute terms, however, imports from that source increased from 7.1 million dozen in 1966 to 10.2 million dozen in 1968; such imports increased by 78 percent from 6.8

^{1/} There has occurred a particularly large increase in the number of department and chain stores that import stainless-steel table flatware directly from foreign sources.

million dozen in the first 9 months of 1968 to 12. 1 million dozen in the comparable period of 1969. Of the increase in imports from all sources between 1966 and 1968--5.7 million dozen--Japan accounted for 3.1 million dozen; of the 7.5 million dozen increase in imports between January - September 1968 and January - September 1969, Japan accounted for 5.3 million dozen. The balance of the increase was principally supplied by Taiwan, the Republic of Korea, the Netherlands, and Hong Kong.

The average unit value (per dozen pieces) of imported stainless-steel table flatware from all sources was about the same in 1968 as it was in 1966 (\$1.22); it declined in the first 9 months of 1969 to \$1.18. In contrast, the average unit value of imports from Japan increased from \$1.19 in 1966 to \$1.23 in the first 9 months of 1969.

The marked increase in imports between 1966 and 1968 was reflected in imports of each kind of quota-type flatware except knives (table 15A). Imports of quota-type forks increased by 111 percent; spoons, by 99 percent; sets by 31 percent; and knives increased by 23 percent. Imports of nonquota-type knives increased more than those of forks and spoons between the two years. Imports of nonquota-type knives increased by 53 percent; forks, by 12 percent; and spoons, by 9 percent.

U. S. producers' prices

With relatively few exceptions, U. S. producers increased their prices for stainless-steel table flatware both in 1968 and 1969 (Table 23).

On the average, prices in 1968 were about 7 percent higher than in

1964; in 1969 they were 11 percent higher. During the period 1964-67 prices were increased only by about 3 percent, most of the increases occurring in 1967.

Price indexes by value range show that prices in the \$2.00 to \$2.99 value range increased only slightly in 1967-69, while prices in all other value ranges increased more significantly, principally in 1968 and 1969 (table 24). The latter prices were from 13 to 16 percent higher in 1969 than they were in 1964.

The average value per dozen pieces of all stainless-steel table flatware sold by the U.S. manufacturers, f.o.b. their plants, was \$2.23 in 1964; it increased to \$2.30 in 1965 and 1966, to \$2.48 in 1967, and to \$2.60 in 1968 (table 6). In the first 9 months of 1969 the average value was \$2.50, compared with \$2.57 for the corresponding period of 1968. These average unit values reflect both changes in the product mix and changes in prices.

Profit-and-loss experience of domestic manufacturers

In the aggregate, stainless-steel table flatware operations of U.S. producers have been profitable during the last 6 years (table 25). In 1964-68, producers' net operating profits on stainless-steel table flatware operations averaged 9.2 percent (ranging from 8.4 percent to 10.7 percent) of the net value of their sales. Reported net operating profits for 1969 (predominantly six-month data) \(\frac{1}{2} \) were 4.4 percent of the net value of

^{1/} Profit-and-loss data for 1969 reported herein represent operations for only part of the year, i.e., they include data for the full accounting year ending early in 1969 for one producer and data for 6-month accounting periods for all other producers. Inasmuch as producers' sales and perhaps profits, are generally higher in the last half of a calendar year than in the first half, the data reported herein for 1969 may not be representative of the full year. In the period 1962-67, U.S. producers' sales of stainless-steel table flatware in the second half of the year averaged 5.7 percent higher in terms of quantity, and 9.7 percent higher in terms of value, than sales in the first half of the year.

sales, well below the 1964-68 average. Two of the reporting producers in 1967 and half of them in 1968 and 1969 sustained losses on their stainless-steel table flatware operations (table 25). The firms reporting net losses on their domestic stainless-steel table flatware operations in 1967, accounted for 3.8 percent of total U.S. sales of domestically produced flatware by all reporting domestic producers; in 1968, they accounted for 14.4 percent, and in 1969, for 46.3 percent.

Concerns that manufactured other products in substantial volume as well as stainless-steel table flatware, earned higher profits on their stainless-steel table flatware operations in 1962-69 than did those that were engaged predominantly in making stainless-steel table flatware (table 25B); during 1959-61 the reverse was true.

Data submitted by 8 producers who accounted for 85 percent of total U.S. sales of domestic stainless-steel table flatware in 1966 indicate that the long term decline recorded in the cost of goods sold as percent of net sales continued into 1968 but was reversed in 1969. Total selling and administrative expenses, as a percent of net sales, increased markedly in 1968 and 1969, from 16.6 percent in 1967 to 21.1 and 23.1 percent, respectively (table 27).

Six U.S. producers imported stainless-steel table flatware in 1967; at least 10 did so in 1968-69. Usable profit-and-loss data on import operations were received from 4 of these manufacturers for the year 1967, 5 for the year 1968, and 7 for the year 1969. One of these manufacturers reported a net loss on domestic stainless-steel table flatware operations

in 1968, and 3 showed losses on such operations in 1969. None of the reporting concerns showed losses on their imported stainless-steel table flatware operations in 1967 or 1968; one reported a loss in 1969. The tabulation below shows, for these reporting firms, net profit ratios on their sales of imported flatware and on domestically produced flatware; it also shows net profit ratios for all reporting producers on their sales of domestically produced stainless-steel flatware.

•	Number of U.S.	: Net profit or (loss) as percent of net sales of
Year	producers re-	
•	porting in	: importer-producers : ducers on
•	year	: On import: On domestic : domestic
:		:operations: operations :operations only
:		: Percent : Percent
:		: :
1967:	4	: 9.3 : 8.3 : 10.7
1968:	5	: 11.7 : 11.1 : 8.4
1969:	7	:1/ 6.2 : 1/ 6.4 : 2/ 4.4
•		<u>-</u> : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - :

^{1/} Data are for 6-month accounting periods.

For the reporting producers in the years 1967-69, the ratio of net profits to net sales of imported stainless-steel table flatware was within 1 percent of the profit-sales ratio on their domestic stainless-steel table flatware operations. For the 4 reporting concerns in 1967, sales of the imported articles were equal to 19 percent of their sales of domestic output; for the 5 reporting in 1968, 12 percent, and for the 7 reporting in 1969, 16 percent. Imports by these firms accounted for 78 percent of the value of imports by all U.S. producers during 1967-69. These companies also accounted for about a third of total U.S. sales of domestic merchandise in 1967, and about four-fifths in 1968 and 1969.

^{2/} Data are for the full accounting year ending early in 1969 for one producer, and for 6-month accounting periods for 9 producers

STATISTICAL APPENDIX

Note 1.--Where possible, the tables in this appendix were given the same numbers as they had in Appendix A to the Commission's report to the President on Investigation No. TEA-I-EX-3 under section 351(d)(3) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (TC Publication 217, September, 1967). Several new tables have been added to the appendix to this report; they are given the designations A, B, or C, following the number of the table to to which they most closely relate, i.e., Table 25B did not appear in the appendix to the Commission's 1967 report, but is closely related in subject matter to Table 25, which did appear in the last report. Table 26 did not appear in the Commission's 1967 report and consequently does not appear in this report.

Note 2.--For the sake of brevity, in the following tables the term "quota type" is used to describe knives, forks, and spoons, with stainless-steel handles, valued under 25 cents each, not over 10.2 inches in overall length, and the term "nonquota type" is used to describe other knives, forks, and spoons with stainless-steel handles.

Table 1.--U.S. rates of duty under the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS) on stainless-steel knives, forks, and spoons in effect on December 31, 1967, and changes in the rates pursuant to the Kennedy Round of trade negotiations

TSUS	••	••	rates in ettect :		errective	ive on January	ry 1	
item	Description	Statutory rate	on Dec. 31, :	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
	Knives, forks, and spoons : with stainless-steel : handles:							,.
· · · · · · · · ·	Knives and forks: With handles not containing nickel and not containing over: 10 percent by weight:			•				
650.08, 650.38:	of manganese: Valued under 25 cents each, not over 10.2 inches: in overall	,	**************************************	71		` ⊣)
650.09, 650.39:	other	+		0.9¢ + 11\$:0.8¢ + 10%:0.7¢	:0.7¢ + 8.5%:0	.0.6¢ + 7%	:0.5¢ + 6%
650,10, 650.40:	With handles containing: nickel or containing: over 10 percent by: weight of manganese: Valued under 25 cents each, not:							
650.12, 650.42:	length	2¢ + 45% : 2¢ + 45% :	1¢ + 17.5% 1¢ + 17.5%	$\frac{1}{0.94 + 15.5\%}$.8	$\frac{1}{+}$ 148:0.7¢ + 12%	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{1}{10.54 + 8}$
650.54: 650.55: 651.75:	Spoons: Spoons: Spoons: Spoo	40% 40% The rate of duty applicable to that article: in the set sub- ject to the	17% 17% The rate of duty: applicable to that article in the set subject to the highest rate of duty.	15% 1/ 1/		11.54 11.54 11.54 11.54	10% 1/ 10% 1/	8.5\$ 1/ 11/
•••		of duty.	•• ••				••••	

Table 1A.--U.S. rates of duty under the Tarlff Schedules of the United States (TSUS) on stainless-steel knives, forks, and sp.ons, August 31, 1963-October 11, 1967 1/

	:		: Rate appli				
	:	:		filled :	Rate amolt	lcable after qu	note is filled
	;	:		ect since	i mic uppi	icabic di sci q	rota is illieu
	:	:	: Aug. 3	1, 1963)			
TSUS	: TSUS	:	:	:	In effect		t beginning
	:appendix		:	:	from		1, 1965
	: item 2/ : : :	: : :	Statutory rate 3/	Trade- agreement rate 4/	Aug. 31, 1963, to Oct. 31, 1965	Applicable to products of non- Communist countries	
	•	! 	:	:	:	:	•
	•	: Knives, forks, and spoons with stainless-	:	:			:
	:	steel handles:	:	•			•
	•	: Knives and forks:	:	:		•	:
50.09	·(927.50	: With handles not containing	:	•		:	:
50.39	: \ 927.53	: nickel and not containing	:	:	.	:	: .
51.75 5/	:(927.60	e over 10 percent by weight of	:	:	:		:
7-117 <u>7</u> 7	•	: manganese:	:	:	:	•	:
	•		: 2¢ each +	: 1¢ each +	3¢ each +	3¢ each +	: 3¢ each + 15⊈
	•	; over-all length and	: 45% ad	: 12.5% ad	67.5% ad		: ad val. but
	:	valued at less than 25	: val.	: val.	val. 6/	: val. 7/	: not less tha
	:	cents each.		:	:	:	: 2¢ each + 45
	:	: 0ther 9/	:	:	10/	10/	: ad val. $\frac{8}{10}$
	:	; other		: l¢ each +		: 10/	: == ==
	:	•		: 12.5% ad	:	•	:
	/ 600 51	11141 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	: val.	: val.	:	:	•
550.11	: 927.51		:	:	:	:	:
550.41 551.75 <u>5</u> /	:(927.53		:	:	:	:	:
551.75 2/	:(927.61		:	:	:	:	:
			: 2¢ each +	: 1¢ eacn +	3¢ each +	: 3¢ each +	: 3¢ each + 20\$
	:				67.5% ad		: ad val. but
		: 25 cents each.	: val.	: val.	: val. <u>6</u> /	: val. <u>7</u> /	: not less tha
	:	; 2) cents each.	•	•	:	:	: 2¢ each + 45
		: Other <u>9</u> /	. 0 (10/	10/	: ad val. 8/
	:	: Other <u>3</u> /	: 25 each +			: <u>10</u> /	: 10/
		•		: 17.5% ad	:	:	•
	: (927.52	: Spoons:	: val.	: val.			:
50.55	: (927.54		: 40% ad	: : 17% ad	. 60d ad	: . l.od	: . 1.οσ 9
551.75 <u>5</u> /	: (927.62			: 1/% &d : val.	: 60% ad : val. 6/	: 40% ad	: 40% ad val. 8
	. (921.02	than 25 cents each.	. va.L.	. val.	. val. <u>~</u> /	val. 7/	•
	:		: 40% ad	: : 17% ad	. 10/	10/	. 10/
	:	· Ocher 5/	•	: 1/% ad : val.	: <u>10</u> /	: 10/	: <u>10</u> /
	•	•	. var.	. Agr.	•	•	•
	1	· ·			:	7	•

The TSUS came into effect on Aug. 31, 1963.

5/ Each set of 2 or more articles containing 1 or more articles of flatware, is dutiable at the rate of duty applicable to the article in the set subject to the highest rate of duty.

6/ Applicable to imports from non-Communist (except the Philippines) and Communist countries after an aggregate quantity of 69 million single units of knives, forks, and spoons with stainless-steel handles, valued under 25 cents each, and not over 10.2 inches in over-all length had been entered in any 12-month period beginning Nov. 1 in any year from countries subject to the trade-agreement rates.

7/ Applicable to imports from other than designated Communist countries and the Republic of the Philippines after an aggregate quantity of 84 million single units of knives, forks, and spoons with stainless-steel handles, valued under 25 cents each, and not over 10.2 inches in over-all length, has been entered in the periods Nov. 1, 1965-Oct. 31, 1966, and Nov. 1, 1966-Oct. 11, 1967, from countries subject to the trade-agreement rates.

8/ Applicable to imports from designated Communist countries after the quota has been filled by imports from countries subject to the trade-agreement rates.

9/ These articles are not subject to quota. 10/ Not applicable.

^{1/} The TSUS came into effect on Aug. 31, 1963.
2/ TSUS appendix items provide or provided for the imposition of tariff quotas on certain stainless-steel flatware. Items 927.53 and 927.54 were in effect from Aug. 31, 1963, to Oct. 31, 1965, and items 927.60, 927.61, and 927.62 became effective Nov. 1, 1965. Items 927.50, 927.51, and 927.52 have been in effect since Aug. 31, 1963. The initial tariff quotas specified in the appendix to the TSUS had been in effect since Nov. 1, 1959 (see table 2).

^{3/} Applicable to imports from countries or areas designated as Communist dominated or controlled.

1/ Applicable to imports from all countries except those designated as Communist dominated or controlled and except imports from the Republic of the Philippines.

Table 2.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Average ad valorem equivalents of the trade-agreement and the over-quota rates of duty in effect in 1965 and in 1966, based on imports in 1965 and 1966, respectively, by type of flatware, and by selected sources

	Rate of	Rate of duty in	Average a	d valorem	equivalent	s of the r	ates of du	ty based o	Average ad valorem equivalents of the rates of duty based on imports from	from
Description	effect in-	t 1n	All cou	countries, :	Japan,	in	Europe,	, in	All o	other $\frac{1}{1}$ in
	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965	1966	1965	1966
	Cents each;	Cents each;								
	percent ad valorem	ad valorem	Percent	: Percent :	: Percent :	: Percent :	: Percent	Percent	: Percent :	Percent
Quota-type:										
Spoons imported at the	: ,74	Mo one	140					14		74
overquota rate	889 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	: 40%	60.09	12	60.09	50.04	60.0	0.04	0.09	0.04
Knives and forks:	••	••	•		••	••	••		••	
With handles of austenitic : (nickel) steel:	••••	••••			•	••	•		••	
Knives imported at the		•••	•		••	••	· · ·	`		, ,
ate:	: 1¢ + 17.5%		30.2	24.5 :	30°5	24.5	જો જે	ر اری	\ \(\rac{1}{2}\)	! IV
Overquota rate		%02 + 50% :	א א	0.04	א אס	• † · O	νi	75. T.	 งา	Q
1		. No change	27.2	26.4	28.4	26.7 :	23.5	23.1	2/	2/
i	: 34 + 67.5%	: 34 + 20%	0.66	: 0.64 :	98.8	: 0.64	90.93	34.6	119.1	74.2
With handles of nonaustenitic		••	••	••	••	••	••		••	
(chrome) steel: 3/	•••	•••	•••		•••				•• •·	
Trade-agreement rate	: 16 + 12.5%		19.6	. 20.0	19.5	19.7	21.3	20.6	20.7	22.4
Overquota rate	: 3¢ + 67.5%	34 + 15%	0.68	: 38.1:	88.6	36.8:	89.1	38.4	90.5	43.6
Forks imported at the		••	••	•		••	••		••	`
rate	: 14 + 12.5%	: No change	25.6	25.8:	24.9	25.0	19.3	19.0	28.7	31.6
ota rate	: 3¢ + 67.5%		: 101.1	: 52.7	100.7	48.6	97.4	30.1	· + · TTT :	70.5
Nonquota-type:					1	4		7		0.4
Values	%)T:	: No cnange).) · ·	2	· ·	2.	2	· · ·	-
With handles of austenitic		• ••	• ••			•	••			
(nickel) steel	: 16 + 17.5%	do:	: 20.7	: 20.6 :	21.0	21.0:	20.1	19.9	23.0:	22.7
With handles of nonaustenitic		••						(l I
(chrome) steel	: 1¢ + 12.5%	op :	13.9	14.2	15.0	16.1	13.8	13.7	15.2	17.3
Forks:	•••					•				
(nickel) steel	: 1¢ + 17.5%		20.9	21.8	21.4	21.2	19.8	21.0	50.9	35.2
With handles of nonaustenitic		••							·· ``	•
(chrome) steel	: 1¢ + 12.5%	go :	14.8	14.9	16.6	16.9	14.7	14.7	งไ	15.9

1/2 Principally Taiwan, Hong Kong, and Korea. 2/3 No imports. 3/3 The great bulk of imports in both years consisted of stainless-steel flatware with handles of chrome steel.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 2A.-Stainless-steel table flatware: Average ad valorem equivalents of the rates of duty in effect on January 1, 1968 on January 1, 1968 and January 1, 1968 and January 1, 1968 and January 1, 1969 hased on imports in 1966, 1968, and January-September 1969, respectively, by type of flatware $\frac{1}{1}$

	: Average ad valorem : equivalents of the :	16	1968	January-Se	January-September 1969
Description	: rates of duty in : effect in 1966 : based on imports : in that year $\frac{2}{1}$:	Rates of duty in effect on Jan. 1, 1968	Average ad valorem equivalents of the rates of duty	Rates of duty in effect on Jan. 1, 1969	Average ad valorem equivalents of the rates of duty
	Percent	Cents each; per- cent ad valorem	Percent	Cents each; per- cent ad valorem	Percent
Quota type: Spoons	26.0	17%	17.0 :	17%	17.0
Knives and forks: With handles containing nickel: Knives	31.6	: : 1¢ + 17.5%	26.3	1¢ + 17.5%	28.0
Forks	40.4	1¢ + 17.5%	30.7	1¢ + 17.5%	27.3
With handles not containing nickel: Knives	24.4	: : 1¢ + 12.5%	20.5	1¢ + 12.5%	20.0
Forks	34.4	1¢ + 12.5%	26.7	1¢ + 12.5%	24.6
All quota type, average	27.3		20.7	ı	20.5
Nonquota type: Spoons	17	. 15%	15.0	13.5%	13.5
Knives: With handles containing nickel	20.6	: 0.9¢ + 15.5%	17.3	0.8¢ + 14%	16.0
With handles not containing nickel	14.2	: : 0.9¢ + 11% :	14.1	. 0.8¢ + 10%	12.3
Forks: With handles containing nickel	21.8	: : 0.3¢ + 15.5%	18.0	. 0.8¢ + 14%	16.1
With handles not containing nickel	14.9	: : 0.9¢ + 11%	14.3	0.84 + 10%	12.4
All nonquota type,	18,4	1	15.0		13.5
All types, average	25.0	1	19.3	-	19.3

1/ Data on sets are not available. 2/ Ad valorem equivalents shown for quota-type stainless-steel table flatware are based on imports entered at the trade-agreement rate as well as at the overquota rate.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 3.--Stainless-atcel table flatuare: U.S. rates of duty under the Tariff Act of 1930 (old schedule, superseded Aug. 31, 1953)

(Cents each;	percent ad	valorem)	
•	! !	Tariff A	ct of 1930
Tariff paragraph and description	: Statutory	: Trade-agreem	ent modification
	: rnte 1/	Rate	: Effective date and : trade agreement 2/
Par. 339: Table, household, kitchen, and hospital utensils, and hollow or flat ware, not specially provided for: * * * composed wholly or in chief value of copper, brass, steel, or other base metal, not plated with platinum, gold, or silver, and not specially provided for: Table spoons wholly of metal and in chief value of stainless steel, not over 10.2 inches in overall length and valued at lens than \$3 per dozen pieces. Par. 355: Table, butchers', carving, cooks', hunting, kitchen, bread, cake, pie, slicing, cigar, butter, vegetable, fruit, cheese, canning, fish, carpenters' bench, curriers', drawing, farriers', fleshing, hay, sugar-beet, beet-topping, tanners', plumbers', painters', palette, artists', shoe, and similar knives, forks, and steels, and cleavers, all the foregoing, finished or unfinished, not specially provided for * * *: Not specially designed for other than household, kitchen, or butchers' use: Table knives and forks, wholly of metal and in chief value of stainless steel, not over 10.2 inches in overall length and valued at less than \$3 per dozen pieces, with handles of	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	: 20% : 19% : 18% : 17% 3/ : 60% 3/ : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	: trade agreement 2/ : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Austenitic steel: Less than 4 inches in length, exclusive of handle. 4 inches in length or over, exclusive of handle.	: : 8¢ + 45% :	: 2¢ + 35% : 2¢ + 17-1/2% : 1¢ + 17-1/2% 3/ : 3¢ + 67-1/2% 3/ : 8¢ + 35% 4/ : 8¢ + 35% 4/	: Jan. 1, 1939; United Kingdom. : Jan. 1, 1948. : July 7, 1951. : Nov. 1, 1959. 3/ : Jan. 1, 1948. : Jan. 1, 1948.
Steel, other than austenitic: Less than 4 inches in length, exclusive of handle. 4 inches in length or over, exclusive of handle.	: : 2¢ + 45% : :	: 2¢ + 25% 2¢ + 25% 4/ : 2¢ + 12-1/2% : 1¢ + 12-1/2% 3/ : 3¢ + 67-1/2% 3/ : 4¢ + 25% : 4¢ + 25% 4/ : 4¢ + 17-1/2% 3/	: Oct. 1, 1951. : Nov. 1, 1959. 3/ : : Jan. 1, 1939; United Kingdom. : Jan. 1, 1948. : May 30, 1950. : Oct. 1, 1951. : Nov. 1, 1959. 3/ : Jan. 1, 1939; United Kingdom. : Jan. 1, 1948. : Oct. 1, 1951. : Nov. 1, 1959. 3/

^{1/} Applicable to the products of Communist-dominated or Communist-controlled countries or areas which are designated as such by the President.

^{2/} General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, unless otherwise indicated.

3/ Pursuant to Presidential Proclamation No. 3323, dated Oct. 20, 1959, the higher of the 2 rates to which this footnote is attached was made applicable during any 12-month period beginning Nov. 1, 1959, and in each subsequent year, after a total aggregate quantity of 69 million single units of table spoons described under par. 339, and of table knives and table forks described under par. 355, had been entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, until the total aggregate quantity of the designated units had been entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, during any 12-month period designated above, the lower rate of duty was applicable.

1/ Bound.

Note.--Stainless-steel table flatware, wholly of metal and in chief value of stainless steel, over 10.2 inches in overall length or valued at \$3 or more per dozen pieces (nonquota-type flatware), was dutiable at the same rates of duty as the quota-type flatware entered within the quota limits.

Table 4.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Production and sales by U.S. manufacturers, U.S. imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1951-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

		Sales b		: Imports for :	Apparent		o of s to
Period	U.S. pro- duction 1/		Exports	consump-:	consump- tion 3/	Apparent consumption	
	1,000 dozen pieces	dozen pieces	l,000 dozen pieces	1,000 dozen pieces	1,000 dozen pieces	Percent	Percent
951 952 953 954 955	9,304 : 11,020 : 11,051	11,379 9,306 10,827 10,800 14,654	203 147 97	: <u>耳</u> / : : 883 : : 1,347 :	4/ 4/ 11,563 12,050 18,081	: 11,2	: 12.2
956 957 958 959 960	: 13,079 : 15,030 : 18,480	14,392 13,207 14,887 18,594 18,654	: 82 : 1,292 : 327	10,600 : 9,180 : 8,950 :	23 , 725 22 , 775 , 27 , 217	44.7 40.3 32.9	: 81. : 61. : 48.
961 962 963 964 965	: 18,467 : 21,339 : 21,366 : 27,862	18,877 21,089 22,100 26,729 27,210	: 178 : 231 : 267	5,163 : 6/, 6,860 :	26,074 6/, 28,729	: 6/ 23.9	24. 6/32. 6/26.
966 967 <u>7</u> / 968 <u>7</u> /	: 30,403	30,043 29,350 26,865	: 384	6/ 9,185 8/ 9/ 14,872	6/ 38,779 4/ 41,238	6/23.7 <u>4/</u> 36.1	: 4/
anSept.: 1968 <u>7</u> / 1969 <u>7</u> /		: 19,364 : 19,165		9/ 10,425 9/ 17,903	29,426 36,705		

Partly estimated from sales data for a few manufacturers.

Source: Compiled from data submitted to the U.S. Tariff Commission by U.S. producers and importers of stainless-steel table flatware, and from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

^{1/} Partly estimate
2/ Includes an est
3/ Sales of domest
4/ Not available. Includes an estimate for a small amount of imports in the years 1953-58.

Sales of domestically produced flatware plus imports less exports.

^{5/} The high figure for imports in 1960 is attributable primarily to the entry in that year of most of the imports permissible within the quota in the first and second quota years. As a result, the figures for apparent consumption and the ratios of imports to apparent consumption and to production for 1960 and 1961 are of only qualified significance.

^{6/} Data for 1963 and 1964 are revised. Data do not include imports in sets of nonquota-type stainless-steel table flatware for the period Aug. 31, 1963 through December 31, 1967, because they were not reported separately under the TSUS. Such imports are known to be negligible.

^{7/} Data on U.S. production and sales exclude data for 4 manufactures who did not submit questionnaires.

^{8/} Available data are known to be inaccurate. It is estimated that imports in 1967 were at least as large as they were in 1966.

^{9/} Preliminary.

Table 5.--Table flatware: U.S. apparent consumption, $\frac{1}{}$ by type, 1958-59, 1961-66, 1968, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

(In thousands of dozen pieces) Table flatware with handles of--Year or period Sterling: Silver: Stainless: Other Total silver plate steel 2/ : material 1958-----993: 6,516: 24,067: 4,235 : 35,811 1959-----1,027: 7,004: 27,544: 4,781: 40,356 1961----: 1,015: 5,265: 23,632 : 5,591: 35,503 1962----869: 4,729 : 26,252: 6,170: 38,020 3/ 7,101 : 1963----: 4,853: 3/41,739 825 : 28,960: 1964----: 34,068 : 3/ 777 : 4,706: 8,253:3/47,804 1965-----8,472: 960: 5,316 : 36,090 : 50,838 $39,228 : \frac{3}{}$ $7,527:\overline{3}/$ 1966-----1,100: 5,226: 53,081 5/ 41,737 January-September: : 1968----: : 5/ 29,789 : 1969-----5/ 37,068:

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce and from data submitted to the U.S. Tariff Commission by U.S. producers of stainless-steel table flatware.

^{1/}U.S. producers' sales plus imports. Data on the quantity of exports, known to be small, are not separately reported in official statistics. All data except those on silver-plated and stainless-steel flatware contain estimates. For apparent consumption of stainless-steel table flatware, taking exports into account, see table 4.

^{2/} Data exceed those in table 4 by the amount of exports which were small in most years.

^{3/} Includes consumption of an estimated 1 million dozen pieces of flatware with handles of "other materials" not separately reported in official statistics.

^{4/} Not available.

 $[\]frac{5}{}$ Excludes data for 4 manufacturers who did not submit questionnaires.

Table 6 .-- Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. manufacturers' inventories of finished flatware, and net sales, 1951-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

9		ies at end : $riod \frac{1}{r}$	I.	let sales	
Period	Quan-:	Ratio to net sales	Quantity	F.o.b. factory value	Average value per dozen pieces
ngan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan d	1,000 dozen pieces	Percent	dozen pieces	1,000 dollars	
1951 1952 1953 1954	: 1,131 : : 1,309 :	10.2 12.2 12.1 14.3 11.6	9,306 10,827 10,800	: 16,830 : 19,723 : 20,688	
1956 1957 1958 1959	: 1,525 : 2,035 : 2,050 :	14.0 11.5 13.7 11.0 15.0	: 14,887 : 18,594	31,241 29,626 31,554 38,515 39,163	2.17 2.24 2.12 2.07 2.10
1961 1962 1963 1964 1965	: 2,796	13.3 10.1 12.7	: 21,089 : 22,100 : 26,729	: 47,084	2.13 2.23
1966 1967 <u>3</u> / 1968 <u>3</u> /	: 4,286	12.0 14.6 15.0	: 29,350	: 72,807	2.30 2.48 2.60
JanSept. 1968 <u>3</u> / 1969 <u>3</u> /		: 2/ : 2/	: 19,364 : 19,165	49,770 47,943	: 2.50 :

^{1/} Includes an estimate (less than 1 percent of the total in any year) derived from data on production and sales.

^{2/}Ratios based on data for 9 months are not meaningful.
3/Excludes data for 4 producers who did not submit questionnaires.

Table 7.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. producers' sales of patterns arrayed by average value of sales per dozen pieces, 1964-68, January-September 1968, and January-September $1969 \ \frac{1}{2}$

Period and average sales	Number of pro- ducers reporting	Ratio of the number of patterns in value range to total	Ratio of sale range to to based	tal sales,
value per dozen pieces	in value range	number of patterns	Quantity	Value
1964:		Percent	Percent :	Percent
···		:	:	
Less than \$2.00:				38
\$2.00-\$2.99:		: 16	: 18 :	20
\$3.00-\$4.99:			: 19 :	32
\$5.00-\$6.99:	•	•	: 3:	7
\$7.00 and over:			:1_:	3
Total:		100	100	100
1965:		:	: :	
Less than \$2.00;	13	: 34	53:	32
\$2.00-\$2.99:		_ ·		28
\$3.00-\$4.99:		·		
\$5.00-\$6.99:		•		
\$7.00 and over:	-			
Total:				
:		:	::	·
1966: : Less than \$2.00:	13		: :	70
\$2.00-\$2.99:		·		
\$3.00-\$4.99		·	: 26 :	
\$5.00-\$6.99		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
\$7.00 and over: Total:				
lota1;	19	:100	::	100
1967:		:	:	
Less than \$2.00:	12	: 30	: 43 :	23
\$2.00-\$2.99:				
\$3.00-\$4.99:				
\$5.00-\$6.99:				
\$7.00 and over:				4
Total:	15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
:		:	::	
1968:		:	: :	
Less than \$2.00:	12	: 29	: 43 :	22
\$2.00-\$2.99:		: 21	: 27 :	. 24
\$3.00-\$4.99:		: 31	: 22 :	32
\$5.00-\$6.99:		: 10	; 6;	14
\$7.00 and over:		::	::	8
Total:	15_	100	100_	100
January-September 1968:		•	:	
Less than \$2.00:	12	: 20		21
\$2.00-\$2.99	9	28	42	21
\$3.00-\$4.99:		: 22 : 31	28	24
\$5.00-\$6.99:	•	~~		
\$7.00 and over:	5		4	10
Total:	<u>5</u>		: 4 -:	13
:		100	100_	100
January-September 1969: :		:	:	
Less than \$2.00:		: 30	: 45 :	23
\$2.00-\$2.99:		: 20	: 27	26
\$3.00-\$4.99:	8	: 33	: 21	31
\$5.00-\$6.99:	5	. 33 : 9	: 5:	12
\$7.00 and over:			; 2	
Total:		100	100	
	13	. 100	:	. 100

^{1/} The producers were instructed to calculate the average value of sales per dozen pieces for each pattern in each year and then group the patterns in the value ranges shown. Data contain some estimates for a few small producers.

Source: Compiled from data submitted to the Tariff Commission by 19 U.S. producers of stainless-steel table flatware for 1964-1966, and by 15 of the 19 producers for subsequent periods.

Table 7A.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. producers' sales of patterns arrayed by average value of sales per dozen pieces, 1964-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969 $\frac{1}{2}$

Period and average sales	U.S. producers	Patterns in	U.S. produc	ers' sales
value per dozen pieces	monorting in	value range		Value
	: Number	Number	1,000 dozen	: 1,000 dollars
	•	•	:	:
1964:	:	:	35 222	00 (00
Less than \$2.00	13	: 136		
\$2.00-\$2.99	8	: 65	, .	
\$3.00-\$4.99	· : 9	: 144		
\$5.00-\$6.99	· : 5	37		
\$7.00 and over	·:4_	:20	: 124	1,632
Total	19	402	25,860	59,521
(-	:	•	:	•
1965:	. 12	. 127	. 12 710	19,737
Less than \$2.00	13	: 137	: 13,710 : 6,635	
\$2.00-\$2.99	.: 9	: 74		
\$3.00-\$4.99	·: 9	: 123		
\$5.00-\$6.99	·: 6	: 42		5,830
\$7.00 and over	•:4	: 22		1,783
Total	:19_	398	25,794	61,125
1066	•	•	• •	:
1966: Less than \$2.00	13	: 132	: 15,076	20,810
\$2.00-\$2.99	: 10	: 86		
\$3.00-\$4.99	.: 9	: 138		
\$5.00-\$4.99	·: 9	: 41		
\$5.00-\$6.99	·	: 21		2,343
\$7.00 and over	19	418		69,262
Total	•	:	:	:
1967:	: :	:	:	:
Less than \$2.00	-: 12	: 114	: 12,396	: 16,979
\$2.00-\$2.99	.: 9	: 82		
\$3.00-\$4.99	·: 7	: 121		- , ,
\$5.00-\$6.99	·: 5	: 36		
\$7.00 and over	-: 5	_		
Total	15	375		72,708
10001	•	*.	:	:
1968:	:	:	:	:
Less than \$2.00	-: 12	: 115		
\$2.00-\$2.99	-: 9	: 83		•
\$3.00-\$4.99	-: 8	: 123		•
\$5.00-\$6.99	-: 5	: 41		
\$7.00 and over		:34		
Total	-:1 <u>5</u>	:395_	26,781	: 70,423
T	:	:	:	:
January-September 1968:	•	. 110	9 073	. 10 592
Less than \$2.00		: 112		
\$2.00-\$2.99	-: 9	: 85		
\$3.00-\$4.99	-: 8	: 121		: 15,770
\$5.00-\$6.99		: 39		
\$7.00 and over	-: 5	:37	: 777	
Total	15	394	19,439	50,112
January-September 1969:	•	•	•	•
Less than \$2.00	· -: 12	: 119	8,786	: 11,330
\$2.00-\$2.99	-: 8	: 79		
\$3.00-\$4.99	-: 8			
\$3.00-\$4.99	0	: 131		
*> (1)-*D UU	-: 5	: 37		5,909
φη.00-φυ.99	1.			
\$7.00 and over Total	-: 4 -: 15	32	: 389 : 19,545	3,815 48,734

1/ The producers were instructed to calculate the average value of sales per dozen pieces for each pattern in each year and then group the patterns in the value ranges shown. Data contain some estimates for a few small producers.

Source: Compiled from data submitted to the U.S. Tariff Commission by 19 U.S. producers of stainless-steel table flatware for 1964-66 and by 15 of the 19 producers for subsequent periods.

Note.--Sales data in this table agree roughly with those in other tables, an exact correlation between data submitted by U. S. producers for use in this table and sales data submitted for other uses could not be made.

Table 8.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, 1951-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

			· Arromo mo ano Tara
Year	Quantity	• Value	Average value per
•		•	dozen pieces
•	Dozen pieces	9	TOTOL PLOCOD
:		:	
1951:	207,845	: \$238,519	\$1.15
1952	202,952	: 235,898	1.16
1953:	146,799	: 172,312	1.17
1954:	96,736	: 143,614	1.48
1955:	143,709	: 200,737	1.40
1956	82,180	: 138,214	1.68
1957:	81,918	: 155,128	1.89
1958:	1,291,757	: 1,536,076	1.19
1959:	327,095	467,799	1.43
1960:	190,648	437,640	2.30
:		•	1
1961: 1962:	211,725	: 511,686 :	2.42
1963:	177,859	: 382,286 :	2.15
1964:	231,218	: 455,181 :	1.97
1965:	267,345	: 593,810 :	2.22
1907	333,881	842,047	2.52
1966	449,466	1,244,406	2.77
1967 1/:	383,724	1,090,875	2.84
1968 1/:	498,743	1,572,105	3.15
JanSept.	. , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ン・エ ノ
1968 <u>1</u> /:	362,744	1,181,676	3.26
1969 1/:	362,712	1,109,221	3.06
	, ,	,,,	J

^{1/} Excludes data for 4 producers who did not submit questionnaires.

Table 9.--Table flatware: Sales of all types of table flatware produced in U. S. establishments in which stainless-steel table flatware is produced, 1951-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969 1/

1909 =/					
	(In thousa	nds of de	ozen pieces)		
37	Stainless:	Silver	Sterling :	Other 2/	Total, all
Year	steel:	plated	Silver		kinds
1951 1952 1953 1954	: 11,379 : 9,306 : 10,827 : 10,800 :	15,763 11,824 12,957 12,284	993 922 1,285 994	2,211 : 1,572 : 1,920 : 1,281 :	30,346 23,624 26,989 25,359 27,889
1955:	14,654	10,946	: 1,034	1,255:	213009
1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	13,207 14,887 18,594	6,748 6,478 6,971	: 857 : 782 : 822	1,204 1,439 1,601 704 497	27,091
1961 1962 1963 1964 1965	18,877 : 21,089 :	4,691 4,805 4,626	: 654 : 497 : 472	411 443 378 3/510 3/415	26,877 27,780 32,337
1966 1967 5/ 1968 <u>5</u> /	: 29,350	: 4/	602 588 508	: 4/ : 4/ : 37 172	36,124 34,852 <u>4</u> /
Jan Sept. 1968 5/ 1969 <u>5</u> /	: : 19,364		: : 382 : 358	<u>4</u> / : <u>3</u> / 684	23,342 <u>4</u> /

1/ This table shows sales data only for manufacturers of stainless-steel table flatware during the years in which they produced such flatware. The data on sales of stainless-steel table flatware, therefore, are complete, but the data on sales of other types of flatware include only the sales by the manufacturers of stainless-steel table flatware.

2/ Includes tin-plated, nickel-plated, and chrome-plated flatware, flatware of metals (such as nickel silver) other than stainless steel, and flatware with handles of nonmetallic materials.

^{3/} Data not strictly comparable with those for preceding years.

 $[\]overline{4}$ / Withheld to avoid disclosing figures for individual companies.

^{5/} Excludes data for 4 producers who did not submit questionnaires.

Table 10.--Average number of employees, production and related workers, and man-hours worked by production and related workers in establishments engaged in the production of stainless-steel table flatware, for all operations of the establishments and for production of stainless-steel table flatware alone, 1959-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

Average number of employees: Engaged in all: production: operations of : of stainles: establishments : steel tabl:	Engaged in production of stainless-steel table flatware $\frac{1}{1}$	and related workers: Engaged in all: produ	Fnoaped in :	and related workers	d workers	worked on
	Engaged in production of stainless-steel table flatware 1/	: Engaged in all :	Engaged in :	••	•	40-000 410+0
	production of stainless-steel table flatware $\frac{1}{L}$: Engaged in all :	11.0.00	Tr 011.	In production :	table flatware
	steel table flatware $\frac{1}{1}$: operations of :	of stainless-:	operations of:	of stainless-	to man-hours
	TTOTAL	establishments:	steel table :	establishments:	flatware :	worked on all products
				Thousands :	Thousands :	Percent
8,383 ::	3,019	: 085,9	2,416 :	13,803 :	5,079	37
8,155 :	3,164	: 692,9	2,510 :	12,997 :	5,110:	39
8,404 :	2,973	: 6,671 :	2,387 :	13,543 :	4,886:	36
: 990,6	3,457	: 7,124 :	2,793 :	14,339:	5,744 :	040
9,773 :	3,607	7,618 :	2,838	15,681	5,889	/ 5
8,398 :	4,092	: 6,867 :	3,400 :	14,838:	7,394:	05.
8,757 :	3,957	7,282 :	3,309:	15,610:	7,109	4 د
: 690'6 :	4,073	: 009*2	3,455 :	<u> </u>	7,411 :	4 r
: 3/ 7,413 :	3,763	$\frac{3}{4}$ 5,816:	3,013:	$\frac{3}{2}$ 14,128:	7,533 :	75
	3,496	: 5,682 :	2,780 :	13,392:	6,635	00
	-	••				70
7,326 :	3,445	: 5,602 :	2,745 :	9,942	706,4	י פי
7,240 :	3,427	: 5,522 :	2,737 :	9,855	4,908	OC.

These calculations were made for each indi-1/ Estimated by applying to the reported number of employees on all products, the ratio of man-hours for production and related workers on stainless-steel table flatware to man-hours for such workers on all products. These calculations were made for each in vidual company, therefore, the percentages used may not correspond exactly with the figures given for the entire industry.

 $\frac{2}{3}$ / Excludes data for 4 producers who did not submit questionnaires. $\frac{2}{3}$ / Sharp decline attributable to the consolidation of stainless-steel table flatware operations in one facility by each of producers Compiled from data submitted to the U.S. Tariff Commission by U.S. producers of stainless-steel table flatware, except Source: as noted

Table 11.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. production; and employment, wages, and labor productivity in its manufacture, 19ble 11.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

Average Man-hours Per man-hour	: Ave	Ave	Average:			Production and rela Flatware produced	Production and related workers Flatware produced :	1	Wages paid	
2,021	manu- : Pr facturers :	Production :	of all : employ- : ees :	Average number 1/		per ma	به ا	Total	Per man-hour	Per dozen pieces
1, 2, 336 2, 021 4, 253 2, 8 \$\frac{4}{4}, 95 10, 94 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,		1,000 dozen			1,000	Dozen	Per dozen	1,000	3.	
\$\begin{array}{c} \text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\eta\ta\\$\$}\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\		pieces		•••	man-hours	pieces	pieces	dollars		
304 2,011 1,721 3,624 2.6 4,65 1,020 2,182 1,882 4,056 2.7 4,94 1,051 2,390 1,972 4,115 2.7 5,16 1,94 1,051 2,390 2,501 5,329 2.8 5,38 1,030 3,057 2,248 4,658 2,8 6,29 6,29 1,030 3,057 2,416 5,079 3.6 6,69 1,480 3,019 2,416 5,079 3.8 7,19 1,480 3,019 2,416 5,079 3.8 7,19 1,480 3,019 2,416 5,079 3.8 7,19 1,480 3,61 7,98 1,480 3,61 7,98 1,480 3,61 7,98 1,480 3,61 7,98 1,480 3,61 7,98 1,409 3,97 3,90 7,109 3,90 1,0.04 1,002 3,457 7,411 4,10 1,0.28 1,403 3,457 7,411 4,10 1,0.28 1,403 3,457 7,411 4,10 1,0.28 1,403 3,427 2,745 4,908 1,0 1,0 1,004 1,436 3,427 2,737 1,4908 1,0 1,0 1,38 1,436 3,427 2,737 1,4908 1,0 1		11,893	2,336	2,021	4,253	2.8	\$4.95	6,822	\$1.60	\$0.57
,020 : 2,182 : 1,862 : 4,056 : 2,7 : 5,16 : 5,390 : 1,972 : 4,115 : 2.7 : 5,16 : 5,380 : 2,501 : 5,329 : 2.8 : 5,38 : 5,037 : 2.9 : 6.33 : 079 : 2,248 : 4,658 : 2,8 : 6,29 : 6,29 : 6,29 : 6,29 : 0,030 : 3,019 : 2,16 : 5,079 : 3,6 : 7,45 : 7,480 : 3,019 : 2,116 : 5,079 : 3,6 : 7,45 : 7,480 : 3,164 : 2,510 : 5,110 : 3,8 : 7,145 : 3,39 : 3,457 : 2,838 : 5,889 : 3,6 : 7,58 : 3,607 : 3,400 : 7,394 : 3,8 : 8,17 : 7,58 : 3,607 : 2,838 : 5,889 : 3,6 : 7,57 : 3,39 : 7,109 : 3,90 : 7,109 : 3,496 : 2,780 : 6,635 : 4,0 : 10,28 : 4,007 : 2,785 : 7,411 : 4,1 : 10,28 : 4,108 : 3,427 : 2,737 : 4,908 : 4,0 : 9,90 : 10,04 : 4,36 : 3,427 : 2,737 : 4,908 : 4,0 : 9,90 : 3,427 : 2,737 : 4,908 : 4,0		9,304	2,011 :	1,721	3,624	0.0	4.05	7 007	1.09	200
, 695 2,390 1,972 4,112 2,8 5,38 5,38 6,29 6,93 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29	19:	11,020	2,182:	1,882	0,40,4 1,000,4	- t	ָּבְּיָּהְ הַרְּיִהְ	7 055	7.7	4
,695 2,987 2,382 5,037 2.9 6,33 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29 6,29	 8 0 0	11,051 :	2,390	2,9(2	5,329	. 6	5.38	10,034	1.88	. 68
, 995 2,907 2,302 1,558 2.8 6,29 1,030 3,057 2,326 1,760 3.6 1,148 1,480 3,019 2,416 5,079 3.6 7,45 1,480 3,019 2,416 5,110 3.8 7,45 7,45 1,092 3,457 2,838 5,889 3.6 7,58 1,67 3,39 3,457 3,309 7,411 1,11 10,28 1,403 3,457 2,780 6,635 1,0 10,04 1,16 3,427 2,737 1,908 1,0 10,04 1,436 3,427 2,737 1,908 1,0 10,04 1,436 3,427 2,737 1,908 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,436 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00				C C	E 037	0	6.33	9,820	1.95	79.
,030 3,057 2,326 4,760 3.2 6,69 3.0 19.04 3.0 19.00 19.00 19.00 10.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19		14,695 :	, v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	0,000 0,000 0,000	4,658	2 2 3	6.29	9,225	1.98	.77.
,480		15.030	3,057	2,326	4 760	3.2	69.9	9,895	2.08	99.
,332 : 3,164 : 2,510 : 5,110 : 3.8 : 7.98 : ,467 : 2,973 : 2,387 : 4,886 : 3.8 : 6.17 : 7,58 : ,367 : 2,838 : 5,889 : 3.6 : 7,58 : 7,58 : ,409 : 3,902 : 3,400 : 7,394 : 3.8 : 8.47 : ,409 : 3,957 : 3,309 : 7,109 : 3.9 : 8.97 : ,403 : 3,763 : 3,455 : 7,411 : 4,1 : 10.28 : ,403 : 3,456 : 2,780 : 6,635 : 4,0 : 10.04 : ,436 : 3,427 : 2,745 : 4,908 : 4,0 : 10.04 : ,436 : 3,427 : 2,737 : 4,908 : 4,0 : 9,90 : ,436 : 3,427 : 2,737 : 4,908 : 4,0 : 9,90 :	• •	18,480	3,019	2,416	5,079	3.6	7.45	10,990	2.16	.59
,467 : 2,973 : 2,387 : 4,886 : 3.8 : 8.17 : 7.58 : 3.457 : 2,793 : 5,744 : 3.7 : 7.58 : 7.44 : 3.7 : 7.58 : 3.607 : 2,838 : 5,889 : 3.6 : 7.58 : 7.394 : 3.8 : 8.47 : 7.394 : 3.9 : 8.47 : 8.47 : 3.957 : 3,309 : 7,109 : 3.9 : 8.97 : 8.97 : 3,013 : 7,109 : 3.9 : 8.97 : 3.013 : 7,333 : 4.1 : 10.28 : 3,456 : 2,780 : 6,635 : 4.0 : 10.38 : 3,457 : 2,745 : 4,907 : 3.9 : 10.04 : 4,36 : 3,427 : 2,737 : 4,908 : 4.0 : 9,90 : 3,427 : 2,737 : 4,908 : 4.0		19,332	3,164:	2,510	5,110	3.8:	7.98	: 11,427	2.24	 ç.
339 3,457 2,793 5,744 3.7 7.58 3.66 3,607 2,889 3.6 3,60 7.67 3,940 3.8 3.8 8.47 3.862 1,092 3,400 7,394 3.9 8.47 3.9 8.97 3,957 3,309 7,411 4.1 9.40 3,403 3,406 2,780 6,635 1,0 10.28 3,427 2,737 1,908 3,40 3,9 10.04 3,427 2,737 1,4908 1,0 10.99 3,427		י באון פר	0 072	2 387	1, 886	3,8	8.17	11,397		
366 3,607 2,838 5,889 3.6 7.67 7.87 3.86 1,092 3,400 7,394 3.8 3.8 8.47 3.809 3,902 3,903 3,903 3,903 3,903 3,903 3,903 3,003 3,003 7,303 4.1 10.28 7,003 3,496 2,780 6,635 4.0 10.38 3,497 2,745 4,907 3.9 10.04 3,90 3,427 2,737 4,908 4.0 9,90		21,339	3,457	2,793	5,744	3.7	7.58	⁄γ\c :	: 4/ 2.22	: 4/ .58
, 409 : 3,957 : 3,400 : 7,394 : 3.8 : 8.47 : 409 : 3,957 : 3,309 : 7,109 : 3.9 : 8.97 : 8.97 : 3,603 : 3,455 : 7,411 : 4.1 : 9.40 : 10.28 : 3,496 : 2,780 : 6,635 : 4.0 : 10.38 : 169 : 3,445 : 2,745 : 4,907 : 3.9 : 10.04 : 4,36 : 3,427 : 2,737 : 4,908 : 4.0 : 9.90 : 9.90	500	21,366	3,607	2,838	5,889	3.6	7.67	\^\ 		
,409: 3,957: 3,309: 7,109: 3.9: 0.91: ,262: 4,073: 3,455: 7,411: 4,1 9,40: ,403: 3,763: 3,013: 7,333: 4,1 10.28: ,516: 3,496: 2,780: 6,635: 4,0: 10.38: ,169: 3,445: 2,745: 4,907: 3.9: 10.04: ,436: 3,427: 2,737: 4,908: 4,0: 9.90:	19:	27,862	7,092	3,400	7,394	 	74.8	18,887		
,262	19:	27,409	3,957	3,309	7,109	3.9		060°6T :		•
,403 3,763 3,013 7,333 4.1 10.28 3,403 3,496 2,780 6,635 4,00 10.38 3,169 3,445 2,745 4,907 3.9 10.04 3,90 3,427 2,737 4,908 4,00 9,90 3		2000	. 073	3 455	7.411	T 4	04.6	: 21,055	2.84	20.
,516: 3,496: 2,780: 6,635: 4.0: 10.38: ,169: 3,445: 2,745: 4,907: 3.9: 10.04: ,436: 3,427: 2,737: 4,908: 4.0: 9.90:	61	30,403	3,763	3,013	7,333	1.4	10.28	. 23,069	3.15	92.
; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	 121	26,516	3,496	2,780	6,635	0.4	: 10.38	: 21,793	3.20	yo.
,139 : 3,427 : 2,737 : 4,908 : 4.0 :			2 1.15	3,170	. 400 T	3.9	10.04	15,271	3.11	
			3,427	2,737	806,4	0.4	9.90	: 15,779	3.21	55
	• •			••						

1/ Estimated by applying to the reported number of employees on all products the ratio of man-hours for production and related workers on stainless-steel table flatware to man-hours for such workers on all products.
2/ Estimated by multiplying the number of dozens of pieces of flatware produced per man-hour by the average value of sales per dozen pieces sold.
3/ Not available.
4/ Based on data for 11 producers that accounted for approximately 85 percent of total production.
5/ Excludes data for 4 producers who did not submit questionnaires.

Compiled from data submitted to the U.S. Tariff Commission by U.S. producers of stainless-steel table flatware, except Source:

as noted.

Table 12. -- Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption of quota-type flatware, whether or not imported within or in excess of the quota, based on data reported by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, by months, November 1963-0ctober 1967 $\frac{1}{2}$

	: Accumulated : totals	••	••	••	: 6,950,030	••	٠.	••	••	••	۵.	٠.	••	٠.	٠.	9	·•	••	٥.	7,457,	: 7,673,	. 8,066,	. 8,326,	••	; 9,042,	: 9,320	: 9,390,92	
	Monthly entries		5,772,608	133,380	1,044,042	60,991	39,579	18,349	49,711	749 , 94	182,921	130,323	127,360	65,800	•	6,676,048	216,316	285,106	m	285,314	215,566	393,465	259,911	255,974	459,790	278,361	70,185	
pieces)	Quota year and month	: 1965-66:	November:	December:	January (1966)	February:	March:	April:	May:	June	July	August	September:	October:	: /5 /5 /5 /5 /5 /5 /5 /5 /5 /5 /5 /5 /5	November:	December:	January (1967)	February	March	Apr11:	May	June	July	August	September	October (1st week only)-:	0.
(In dozens of	Accumulated :: totals	••	1,743,436 ::	2,650,836 ::	3,478,318 ::	4,275,783 ::	5,152,497 ::	5,756,951 ::	5,773,257 ::	5,795,157 ::	5,807,641	5,827,289 ::	5,839,005 ::	5,849,658	•••	2,570,100 ::	3,472,827 ::	4,806,533 ::	5,795,048 ::	5,877,305 ::	5,899,915 ::	5,913,717 ::	5,925,775	5,936,750	5,956,183 ::	5,978,439 ::	5,989,823 ::	••
	Monthly : entries :	••	1,743,436:	: 004,706	827,482:	797,465	876,714:	604,454	16,306:	2,900 :	12,484 :	19,648	11,716:	10,653)	2,570,100:	902,727	1,333,706:	988,515 :	82,257 :	22,610:	13,802 :	12,058	10,975	19,433	22,256 :	11,384:	••
	Quota year and month	1963-64:	November:	December:	:(†9	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		April:					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1964-65:			1965):	1		April					111111111111111111		••

l/ Entries shown in months following the first month of each quota year reflect adjustments in previous entries.

2/ Preliminary; subject to revision.

3/ Figures are not shown because small adjustments were made in this month, affecting the previous months. The accumulated total was reduced somewhat below that for January 1967.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Customs.

Table 13.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption, total and from Japan, by types, 1963-66, 19ble 13.--Stainless-steel table flatwary-September 1968, and January-September 1969 1/

ity in 1,0 1964 -:Entered	1mport 8,494 691 9,186	Quota-type	Quota-type
---------------------------------	---------------------------------	------------	------------

1/ Data for 1967 are not shown. For explanation see footnote 4 in table 14. 2/ The value of imports includes estimates for the value of quota-type stainless-steel table flatware imported in sets because the

Data exclude the quantity and Data do not include imports of quota-type flatware amounting to 526,000 dozen pieces in 1963, and 544,000 dozen pieces in 1964, for value of nonquota-type stainless-steel flatware imported in sets because they are not separately reported in official statistics. which data on value and in the indicated detail of this table are not available from official statistics. value of such sets is not separately reported in official statistics.

imports are known to be negligible.

3/ Preliminary. 4/ Includes sets valued at less than \$3 per dozen pieces. 5/ Includes sets valued at \$3 and over; the average unit value of sets imported from Japan was less than \$3 per dozen pieces. 5/ Includes sets valued at \$3 and over; the average unit value of sets imported from Japan was less than \$3 per dozen pieces. 6/ Based on the unrounded figures.

. Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

Table 14.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption, by countries, 1958-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

	1050 1/	1959	: : 1960	1961	10/2	10/7 2/	10(4.2/	10/5 2/	1044 24		1000 77	January-S	September
Source :	1958 1/	1959	: 1960	1961	1962	1963 <u>2</u> /	1964 <u>2</u> /	1965 <u>2/</u>	1966 <u>2</u> /	1967 <u>2</u> /	1968 3/	1968 <u>3</u> /	1969 <u>3</u> /
:					Qua	intity (1,	000 dozen j	oieces)					
; Japan:	8,761	: <u>1</u> / 8,265	: : 9,876	4,301	: : 4,726 :	5,672	6,027	: : 6,834	: : 7,068	4/	10,150	6.834	12,139
Taiwan:	-		: -	: -	:		: 25	621	1,029	4/	2,022	1,532	2,268
Republic of Korea:	-	: -	: -	: -	: -:		: -	: 84	: 569	4/	1,275	954	1,659
Hong Kong:	. 7	: 110	: 53	23	: 6:	119	: 267	: 849	: 128	: 4/ :	94	83	635
Netherlands:	48	: 18			: 97 :	152	: 197	: 169	: 84	: 47/:	547	423	: 382
West Germany:	207	: 320	: <u>5</u> / 338	: <u>5</u> / 243	: 163 :	171	: 105	: 140	: 73	: 4/:	127	108	
Italy:	52	: 74	; 324	: 52	: 59 :	36	: 38	: 43	: 66		109	93	41
United Kingdom:	: 5	: 7	; 9	: 13	: 12 :	18	: 20	: 31	: 36	: 4/ :	149	119	: 248
Austria	: 16			: 36	: 18 :	46	: 27	: 24	: 31		: 13	: 11	: 4
Sweden:		: <u>1</u> / 68	: 68	: 24							: 20		
Denmark:	: 9	: 28	: 26	: 21	: 18.:	12	: 9	: 7	: 8	: <u>4</u> / :	: 13	: 10	: 14
Nansei and Nanpo :		:	:	:	: :		:	:	:	: - :	:	: ,	:
Islands:	: -			: -					: -	: 4/ :		: _, -	: ,, -
All other:	11	: 24	: 16	: 9	:17_:	35	: 41	:57			6/ 353	: <u>6/</u> 241	: <u>6/ 397</u>
Total	9,180	; 8,950	: 10,900	4,755	: 5,163 :	7/ 6,334	: <u>7</u> / 6,795	: 8,880	: 9,185	: <u>4</u> / 7,807 :	14,872	: 10,426	: 17,903
:					Ent	ered valu	e (1,000 d	ollars)					
	7 406	: 0 750	. 0.005	: 4 526	: : :	4 409	: 7 742	: 0.060	: 0 474	4/	12 705	. 0.717	: : 14,965
Japan			9,895	4,520	: 5,117 :				: 8,434		12,305		
Taiwan			-		: - :						1,421		: 1,531
Republic of Korea:											: 984 : 100		
Hong kong										- .			
Netherlands										·	1,068		
West Germany			$\frac{5}{1,040}$								731		
Italy										·	: 371 : 300		
United Kingdom											: 300 : 58		
Austria											: 117		
Sweden											: 134		
Denmark					: 138 :	: 98		: 55 :	. /1	: <u>4</u> /	. 134	. 107	. 114
Nansei and Nanpo	•	:	•	:		35	•	•		4/	•	:	
Islands				: - : 39	: 11 :	: 35	: 149	204	: 327	4/	6/ 552	. <u>6</u> / ₃₈₃	: 6/ 506
All other											18,141	12,856	21,250
Total	8,984	: 10,248	. 12,396	. 0,221		:7/ 8,215 age value	per dozen		. 11,241	3,121	. 10,141	. 12,630	. 21,230
	:	:	:	:	:	:	· :	·	:	:	:	:	:
Japan					: \$1.08	\$1.13	: \$1.22	: \$1.18	: \$1.19		: \$1.21	: \$1.22	: \$1.23
Taiwan				: -	; - :	: -					.70		
Republic of Korea					-	-				: 4/	: .77		
Hong Kong				71						: 4/	: 1.06		
Netherlands				: 2.38							: 1.95		
West Germany				: 3.82	: 4.22						: 5.76		
Italy					: 2.83						: 3.40		
United Kingdom					7.69				: 4.82		: 2.01		
Austria				: 3.12					: 3.42		: 4.46		
Sweden				: 6.36					: 5.45		: 5.85	5.59	
Denmark				: 6.73								: 10.20	
Nansei and Nanpo	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	: -	:	:	:
Islands		: -	: .95	: -	: .76	.70	: .63	: -	: -	: 4/	: -	:	:
All other				: 4.57			: 3.68		: 3.98	: 4/	: <u>6</u> / 1.56	: 6/ 1.59	: <u>6</u> / 1.27
Average										: 4/ 1.17	: 1.22	: 1.23	: 1.18
						:	:	:	:	<u>:</u>	:	<u>:</u>	:

Includes estimates for small amounts of imports for which values only were reported.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

^{1/} Includes estimates for small amounts of imports for which values only were reported.
2/ The value of imports includes estimates for the value of quota-type stainless-steel table flatware imported in sets. The value of such sets is not separately reported in official statistics.

Data exclude the quantity and value of nonquota-type stainless-steel flatware imported in sets because they are not separately reported in

official statistics. Such imports are known to be negligible.

^{3/} Preliminary.
4/ U.S. Department of Commerce data for 1967 on general imports (i.e. imports entered for immediate consumption plus entries into bonded warehouses) show imports of 9.5 million dozen pieces, whereas imports for consumption (i.e. imports for immediate consumption plus entries into bonded warehouses) amounted to (as shown in this table) 7.8 million dozen. Analysis of available data indicate that virtually all of the difference between general imports and imports for consumption--1.7 million dozen pieces--was withdrawn from warehouses during the period October-December 1967, but was not so recorded in official statistics. Data by the indicated detail of this table are not shown for 1967 because of this discrepancy; the total quantity imported for consumption in 1967 probably amounted to close to 9.5 million dozen pieces, valued at approximately (31 million). mately \$11 million.

matery \$11 million.

5/ Includes relatively small amounts of imports which were entered free of duty for U.S. Government use.

6/ For a list of countries and imports therefrom see table 14A.

7/ Data on total quantity shown in this table for 1963 and 1964, do not agree with those shown in table 4 because data in this table do not include imports of 526,000 dozen pieces in 1963, and 544,000 dozen pieces in 1964, for which data on value and in the indicated detail of this table are not available from official statistics.

8/ Based on the unrounded figures.

Table 14A.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption, by countries included in "all other" in table 14, 1968, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

Source	1968	January-September 1968	: January-Sept : 1969	ember
	Quan	tity (1,000 dozen	pieces)	
	:		:	
Mexico:	157 :	96	:	323
Ireland:	80 ;	54		20
Canada:	36:	36	$: \qquad \underline{1/2/}$	
Norway:	26 :	21	•	22
Finland:	15 :	10	•	16
Belgium:	14 :	13	•	. 2
France:	12:	9	•	12
Panama:	7:	-	•	-
Spain:	5:	1/	:	1
Portugal:	1:	1	: 1/	
All other:	1/	1/	:	1
All other				
•		Value (1,000 doll	ars)	
:	•		:	
Mexico:	95 :	57		179
Ireland:	105 :	62	:	37
Canada:	10 :	9	•	2/ 7
Norway:	156 :	126		103
Finland:	83 :	56	•	90
Belgium:	20 :	16	;	10
France:	62 :	47	'· •	68
Panama:	8:		• :	_
Spain:	5 ;	<u>3</u> /	•	1
Portugal:	2:]	l :	1
All other:	6:	6	:	12
:	Unit va	lue (per 1,000 do:	zen pieces) 4/	
:				
·	; \$0.61 :	\$0.60	; 1	\$0.55
Mexico:	1.31 :	1.1		1.83
Ireland	.28 :	.2	~ /	
Canada:	• •	6.00	_	4.70
Norway:	6.09:	5.6 0		5.78
Finland:	5.57:			4.97
Belgium:	1.47:	1.2		5.89
France:	5.33:	5.29	y .	3.09
Panama:	1.26:	10.1	- ; o .	2 26
Spain:	1.01:	10.1		2.26
Portugal:	1.45 :	1.2		2.38
All other:	12.39 :	12.4	2:	12.00
:	:		.•	

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

^{1/} Less than 500 dozen pieces.
2/ Data are being reviewed by the Census Bureau.

 $[\]overline{3}$ / Less than \$500. $\overline{4}$ / Based on the unrounded figures.

Table 15.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption, quota- and nonquota-type, by source, 1966, 1968, and January-September 1969

(Quantity in dozen pieces; average value per dozen pieces) Quota-type 1/ Nonquota-type 1/ Total, all imports Country Average Ouantity Value 2/ Average Quantity Value Average Quantity Value value va lue value 1966 2.58 3/ \$1,097,613 : 3/ 10,374 · Japan-----6,643,453 : \$7,336,345 : 1.10 424,698 7,068,151 \$8,433,958 1.19 1,015,908 : $\frac{3}{12,958}$ $\frac{3}{6,125}$ $\frac{3}{3}$, 3,750 734,795 : 412,732 : .72 .73 1,028,866 745,169 .72 $\frac{3}{3}/1.89$ $\frac{3}{1.00}$ Korea-----: 563,171 3/ 11,555 569.296 424,287 97,846 .75 Hong Kong----: 124,111 94,082 .76 3,764 75,790 127,861 The Netherlands----: .77 67,335 135,927 2.02 17,016 4.45 84,351 211,717 2.51 West Germany----:
Italy----: 16,067 34,753 56,449 49,512 2.16: 335,219 5.94 72.516 369,972 5.10 16,347 27,751 1.70 190.314 3.84 65,859 United kingdom----: 218,065 3.31 10.545 19 174 1.82 152,304 25,040 6.08 35,585 171,478 Austria----: 4.82 6.064 10,608 1.75 24,930 95,257 3.82 30.994 105,865 3.42 23,920 : 135,459 23,920 Finland-----135,459 5.66 21,180 131,466 6.21 21,180 131,466 Chile----: 6.21 15,625 13.015 .83 15,625 . 83 Poland----: Sweden----: 19,500 1.30 : 15,000 19.500 1.30 11.857 : 64.653 5.45 11,857 64.653 Denmark----: 5.45 7,956: 70,702 : 8.89 : 7,956 70,702 8.89 France----870 1,308 1,350 : 1,792 : 6,397 1.50 4.74 2.220 7,705 3.47 Belgium----: 7.222 4.03 7.222 4.03 1.400 : 5,179 3.70: 1.400 5,179 All other----3.70 ,066 Total-----1,066 7,619 7.15 8,494,496 8,839,990 1.04 690,999 2,400,887 3.47 9,185,495 11,240,877 1.22 1968 Japan-----: 9,651,268 10,916,340 1.14: 499,052 : 1,388,260 : 2.78 10,150,320 12,304,600 1.992.254 .69 1,380,251 : 923,274 : 29,314 1.40 2.021.568 1,421,273 : 983,822 : .70 Korea-----.241.797 .74 33,196 60,548 1,274,993 1.82 The Netherlands----: . 77 514.304 912,191 32,968 155,956 4.73 : 547.272 1,068,147 .61 156,852 95.371 156,852 95,371 .61 United Kingdom----: 121,758 166,796 27. 241 1.37 : 133.118 4.89 148,999 West Germany----:
Italy----: 299,914 2.01 417 855 126,424 : 86,067 : 7,666 : 2.05: 730,261 5.78: 126,841 731,116 5.76 22,614 : 85,942 : 45,499 77,589 2.01 325,180 22,068 3.78: 108,681 370,679 3,41 Hong kong----: .90 93,608 99.657 3/1.31 1.06 79,957 104,070 1.30 60 616 10,27 80,017 104,686 Canada-----: 35,040 7,576 3/ 0.22 1.518 3/ 36,558 3/ 10,309 155,522 2.733 1.80 Norway-----1.104 2,588 993 2.34 2.23 24,420 : 19,630 : 25,524 152,934 115,917 6.26 6.09 445 5.91 20,075 116,910 5.82 Finland----: 14,976 83,406 5.57: 14.976 83,406 5.57 11,763 11.397 : .97 1.964 8,826 4.49 : 13.727 20,223 1.47 Denmark----: 211 605 : 2.87 : 13,124 133.138 10.14 13,335 133,743 10.03 8,672 : 3.257: 10,060 2.66 49,214 4.89 : 13.317 57,886 4.35 France----: 592 : 1.252: 2.11 11,082 60.984 5.50 : 11.674 : 62.236 5.33 8.358 1.26 6,630 8.358 1,26 Spain-----5,083 4,683 .92 49 499 10.18: 5,132 5,182 1.01 Portugal 833 1.051 1 26 600 1,021 1.433 : 2.072 1.45 250 600 2.40 : 250 3/ 208 600 2.40 3/ 208 3/ 5,077 3/24.41 : 3/ 5,077 24.41 Total 13,932,371: 14,670,011 1.05 14,871,990 18,140,789 939,619 3,470,778 3.69 January-September 1969 11,761,357 13,667,655 : 377.987 3.43 : 1.16: 1,296,929 12,139,344 : 14,964,584 1.23 Taiwan----::
Korea----:: 250,575 1.484.938 17,698 . 67 .66 45,928 2.59 2,268,273 1,530,866 1.655.070 1,265,610 . 76 2.08 1,658,808 : 1,273,384 : The Netherlands----: 358,698 711.465 : 1.98 23,319 122,473 5.25 382,017 833,938 2.48 323,295 179,047 .55 323,295 179,047 . 55 220,998 365,252 1.65 27.143 131.928 248.141 4.86 : 497.180 2.00 2,698: 1,032 : 594,805 2.61 105,257 592,107 106,289 5.60 5.63 14,178 21.459 26,459 3,061 123,075 23,141 1.51 4.65 7.56 40,637 144,534 3,56 631,808 1.08 634.869 707.817 1.11 20,165 : 36,872 : 1.83: 20,165 Canada----:: Norway----: 36,872 1.83 3/ 223 : $\frac{3}{21}$, 223 3/ 31.34 3/ 6,990 3/ 6,990 3/ 31.35 21.888 : 102,817 4.70 102.817 4.70 Sweden----:: 9,819 6.17 9.819 60,622 6.17 60,622 Finland-----150 293: 1.95 15,378 : 2,035 : 89,505 10,118 15,528 89,798 5.82 : 4.97 : Belgium----: 2.035 10.118 4.97 1.563 2,976: 1.90 12.290 111,217 13.853 8.24 9.05 114,193 Austria-----: 345 806 : 1,500 : 3,586 10,780 19,863 20,669 5.26 5.54 780 68,127 1.92: 6.18 11,560 5', 89 Panama-----: Spain----:: 626 1,413 2.26 626 2.26 250 595 2.38 : 250 2.38 595 Brazil----: Switzerland-----: 3/ 51 3/ 2,112 3/ 41.41 3/ 51 3/ 2,112 3/ 41.41 Netherlands Antilles----: 900 2.086 2.32 : 900 2,086 2.32 333 5,835 333 5.835 17.52 717 4.03 178 21 717 4.03 Argentina-----23.81 รกก 500 23.81 17,241,790 18,429,341 17,903,034 21,249,619 1.07 661,244 2,820,278

^{1/} For 1966, data on "quota-type" flatware include sets of "quota-type" flatware imported; for 1968 and January-September 1969, data on "quota-type" flatware include sets valued at not over \$3 per dozen, and data on "nonquota-type" flatware include sets valued at \$3 and over.

^{2/} For 1966, includes estimates for the value of quota-type flatware imported in sets. The value of such sets is not senarately reported in official statistics.

^{3/} Data are in the process of being reviewed by the Bureau of the Census.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

Table 15A.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. imports for consumption, by type and kind, 1966 and 1968

Item	: (1,00	ntity 00 doz eces)			d value 000)	Averag	e unit r dozen
	1966	: 19	068	1966	1968	1966	1968
	:	:	:		:	:	
Quota type:	:	:			:	:	.
Knives	-: 1,575	: 1,	,942 :	2,507	: 2,905	: \$1.59	
Forks	-: 1,494	: 3,	,145 :	1,386	: 2,684	: .93	85
Spoons			302 :	2,129	: 4,192	: .80	: .79
op	-: 2,760		.543	2,818	: 4.890	: <u>1/ 1.02</u>	1.38
Total or average	-: 8,494	-: 13,	932	8,840	:14,670	: 1.04	1.05
	:	:			:		
Nonquota type:	:	:	;	;	:	:	•
Knives	-: 274	:	418	1,168	: 1,559	: 4.26	
Forks	-: 133	:	149	480	: 555	: 3.60	: 3.72
Spoons	-: 284	:	309	753	: 985	: 2.65	: 3.19
Sets	-: 2/	:	64		: 372	: 2/	: 5.84
Total or average	-: <u>691</u>	-:	940	2,401	: 3,471	: 3.47	: 3.69
	:	:	:	;	:	:	:

^{1/} Estimated by the U.S. Tariff Commission.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

 $[\]frac{2}{2}$ / Data are not reported in official statistics.

Table 15B.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Imports for consumption by U.S. producers, 1964-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

	U.S.	pr	roducer-im	:	Ratio to total imports based on			
Year or period	Number	;	Impo	rt	t S	:	Quantity	Value
; :	Number	:	Quantity	:	Value	: :	quantity;	Varue
:	· .	:	1,000 dozen pieces	: : :	1,000 dollars	:	Percent :	Percent
1964	6 6 6	:	736 1,548 1,884	:	$\frac{1}{1}$, $\frac{1}{2,165}$:	9 17 20	$\frac{\frac{1}{1}}{\frac{1}{1}}$
1967 <u>2</u> /	7	:	2,936 4,786	:	3,143 5,058	:	$\frac{3}{32}$	1/
January-September: : 1968 <u>2/</u> 1969 <u>2/</u>	9	-	3,344 5,921		3,494 6,227		32 33	
Ç*		:		:		:	. 1)

^{1/} Not available.

 $[\]frac{2}{2}$ / Excludes data for 4 producers who did not submit questionnaires. $\frac{3}{2}$ / Approximate percentage.

Japanese export quotas and Japanese exports to the United States, 1958-69Table 16. -- Stainless-steel and other table flatware of nonprecious metals:

pieces)	•••	other flatware : Total		Quota : Exports : Quota : Exports :	- 2/ 5,500
(In thousands of dozen pie	Flatware of stainless steel,	With metal nandles, not over 26 centimeters	Valued less than : Other :	Quota Exports Quota Exports	1/5,500
	••	••	Year	••	1958

Quota not broken down by value; total shown in the first column

Exports not reported in indicated detail.

Exports not broken down by value; total shown in the second column.

Exceptional 1-time quota for flatware with part plastic handles in effect during January-April

5/ Excludes butter knives, ladles, handles, and parts for knives, forks, and spoons. In 1966, exports of quota-type butter knives to the United States totaled 72 thousand dozen (29,708 kilograms); of "butter knives, ladles, and similar kitchen or tableware and parts thereof, n.e.s.," 988,848 kilograms (not reported in dozens).

 $\frac{6}{1}$ Not available. $\frac{7}{1}$ January-April only.

Source: Quotas as announced by Japan Ministry of International Trade and Industry; exports as reported in official trade statistics of Japan.

Note. -- Data for 1958-61 have been revised to include exports to Alaska and Hawaii.

Table 16A.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Japanese exports to all countries and to the United States, 1967-68, January-August 1968, and January-August 1969

(Quantity	in thousands of	dozen piec	es)
	Exports to	-	ts to the ed States
Year or period	all countries	Quantity	: Ratio : (percent) to : total exports
1967	24,448 29,200	,,,,	
1968	17,198 22,412		

Source: Official trade statistics of Japan.

Table 17.--Stainless-steel table flatware: U.S. importers' sales of patterns arrayed by average value of sales per dozen pieces, 1964-66 1/

Year and average sales value per dozen	Number reporting in value range	Ratio of the : number of : patterns in : value range to :	Ratio of s value range sales, based:	to total
pieces per pattern	varue range	total number of patterns	Quantity	,
1964: Less than \$2.00 \$2.00-\$2.99 \$3.00-\$4.99 \$5.00-\$6.99 \$7.00 and over	9 11 11 6 6 23	Percent 25 24 21 15 15 100	11 : 8 : 3	27 24 13 19
1965: Less than \$2.00 \$2.00-\$2.99 \$3.00-\$4.99 \$5.00-\$6.99 \$7.00 and over	13 9 8	27 33 13 13 14 100	•	28 8 22 15
1966: Less than \$2.00 \$2.00-\$2.99 \$3.00-\$4.99 \$5.00-\$6.99 \$7.00 and over	-: 10 -: 7	27 19 15 13	26 8 10	

1/ The importers were instructed to calculate the average value of sales per dozen pieces for each pattern in each year and then group the patterns in the value ranges shown. Data contain some estimates for a few of the importers.

2/ The reporting firms for which data are included in this table accounted for 51 percent of the total quantity imported in 1964, and for 59 percent in both 1965 and 1966. Analysis of the data shows that the imports for which no reports were received consisted predominantly of flatware with a unit value much lower than that of the flatware imported by the reporting firms. It is believed, therefore, that the ratios shown for the less-than-\$2.00 value range would be much higher, and for others much lower, if reports had been received from all importers. Sales by the importers that submitted reports amounted to 3.7 million dozen, valued at \$10.1 million, in 1964; 4.5 million dozen, valued at \$12.1 million, in 1965; and 4.9 million dozen, valued at \$13.0 million, in 1966.

Source: Compiled from data submitted to the Tariff Commission by U.S. importers of stainless-steel table flatware.

Note.—Any comparison of data in this table with those in table 7 should be made with the understanding that the qualities of flatware reflected roughly by the value ranges in this table do not necessarily correspond to those in table 7. See also note 2 above. Data subsequent to 1966 are not available.

Gost of U.S. imports for representative patterns by principal sources, 1966 Table 18. -- Stainless-steel table flatware:

Item of cost	All : Japan : Hong : Taiwan : Korea : All sources : other
	Average cost per dozen pieces
Net foreign value 1/	\$1.17 \$1.07 \$0.87 \$0.71 \$0.70 \$3.15 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.16 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.16 0.05 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.05 0.05 0.05
Net foreign value $\frac{1}{2}/\dots$ Cost of delivery $\frac{2}{2}/\dots$ Duty paid	100.0 100.0 3.6 6.0 25.8 20.0 2.0 2.1 2.0 131.5 128.0

counts, rebates, etc., credited or paid to the U.S. importer by the foreign supplier. 2/ Foreign handling charges, consular fees, commissions, and so forth, incident to placing the shipment on board the vessel in the foreign port, and freight and other 1/ Net value, f.o.b. country of origin, i.e. gross value less any allowances, distransportation costs to the U.S. port of entry.

so forth -- incident to delivery of the stainless-steel table flatware to the point from 3/ Includes all domestic direct expenses --wharfage, cartage, customs brokerage, and which shipment to the customer was made. Source: Compiled from data submitted to the U.S. Tariff Commission by 30 U.S. importers of stainless-steel table flatware.

percent of total imports by the importers. Data subsequent to 1966 are not available. Note. -- Data in this table are for patterns which accounted for the largest quantity imported from each source in 1966. The quantity in the sample was equal to about 26

Table 19.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Indexes of U.S. importers' prices of their best selling patterns in 1964, 1964-67

(1964=100)			
Unit of sale, and number of patterns for which prices were reported	1964	1965	1966	1967
50-piece sets \(\frac{1}{2}\) Open stock:	100	99	98	98
Teaspoons 2/	100	104	110	: 111
Dinner forks 3/	100	: 104	: 109	_
Dinner knives 4/	100	107	114	: 118
Total (sets and open stock)	100	103	106	107

^{1/ 32} patterns.

Note.—The indexes calculated from the importers' prices were derived from unweighted data. When the price data were weighted by the quantity of sales virtually similar results were obtained. Data subsequent to 1967 are not available.

^{2/16} patterns.

^{3/ 16} patterns.

^{4/17} patterns.

Table 20. -- Stainless-steel table flatware: Indexes of U.S. importers' prices of their best-selling patterns in 1964, arrayed in groups on the basis of the average value of 1964 sales per dozen pieces, 1964-67

			(1964=100)		
Unit of sale	Average va	alue of sa	les per d	lozen pieces	in 1964	: : All patterns
	Less than : \$2.00 ½	\$2.00- \$2.99 <u>2</u> /	\$3.00- \$4.99 <u>2</u> /	\$5.00- \$6.99 <u>2</u> /:	\$7.00 and over <u>3</u>	combined 4/
		:		:		•
50-piece sets: :	;	: :	:	:		
1964:			100 :	: 100:	100	: 100
1965:	: 98	100:	97 :			•
1966:	: 94 :	: 100:	94 :	: 98 :		
1967:	95	100:	95 :	97 :	118	: 98
Open-stock:	!	: :		:		•
Teaspoons:		:	:	:		•
1964	100	: 100:	100	100:	100	: 100
1965	107	100:	100 :	102 :	109	: 104
1966:	: 115	97 :	106 :	119:	114	110
1967	: 115	97 :	106 :	: 119:	117	: 111
Dinner forks:	:	:	: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:		:
1964	: 100	: 100:	100 :	100 :	100	100
1965	: 105	100:	103 :	102 :	107	: 104
1966			124 :	: 108 :	111	: 109
1967	124			: 108 :	114	: 111
Dinner knives:			:		}	•
1964	100	: 100 :	100	100 :	100	: 100
1965	_	99	102	103	107	: 107
1966				117	110	: 114
1967		•	_		110	: 118
		:		:	;	:
Total (sets and	•	:	:		•	•
open stock):		:	:	:	:	•
1964		: 100 :	100	: 100 :	100	: 100
1965		: 100	99	: 101	: 108	: 103
1966				: 109 :	: 113	: 106
1967			103	: 109		: 107
	•	:	;	:	}	:

⁹ patterns.

Note.--Any comparison of data in this table with those in table 24 should be made with the understanding that the qualities of flatware reflected roughly by the value ranges in this table do not necessarily correspond to those in table 24. Data subsequent to 1967 are not available.

^{1/9} patterns. 2/6 patterns. 3/5 patterns. 4/38 patterns 38 patterns.

Source: Compiled from data submitted to the U.S. Tariff Commission by U.S. importers of stainless-steel table flatware.

Table 21.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Indexes of U.S. importers' prices of their best-selling patterns in 1964, by source of imports, 1964-67

(1964=10	00)		
	I	ndexes of im	porters'	prices
TY 1: ad and total mumbar	:	immortin		
Unit of sale, and total number	:		:	Total, all
of patterns for which	: Japan :	and other	77	sources
prices were reported	only:	Far Eastern	Europe	
	:	sources		
50	:	;		
50-piece sets, 32 patterns: 1/1964	. 100	100	100	100
1965				
1966	. 93 :			• • •
1967	: 95 :	96		,
1967	: 90 :	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	. 110	.)
Open-stock:	:		:	
Teaspoons, 16 patterns: 2/	:	:	:	:
1964	: 100 :	: 100		•
1965	: 103 :	: 100	•	
1966	: 111	•	: 116	
1967	: 111	: 96	: 118	: 111
Dinner forks, 16 patterns: 2/	:	•	•	•
1964	: 100	•	: 100	•
1965	: 104	•	: 105	
1966	: 118	: 98	: 110	•
1967	: 125	: 97	: 111	: 111
Dinner knives, 17 patterns: 3/	:	:	:	:
1964	: 100	-	: 100	
1965	: 120	: 99	: 106	•
1966	: 139	: 97	: 113	_
1967	: 154	: 97	: 113	: 118
Total, 38 patterns: 4/	:	:	:	:
1964	: 100	: 100		•
1965	: 103	: 99		•
1966	: 109	: 97	: 113	
1967	: 115	: 97	: 115	: 107
•	:	:	:	

Source: Compiled from data submitted to the U.S. Tariff Commission by U.S. importers of stainless-steel table flatware. Data subsequent to 1967 are not available.

^{2/} Japan only, 4 patterns; Japan and other Far East sources, 4 patterns; Europe, 8 patterns.

^{3/} Japan only, 4 patterns; Japan and other Far East sources, 5 patterns; Europe, 8 patterns.

 $[\]frac{1}{4}$ Japan only, 10 patterns; Japan and other Far East sources, 20 patterns; Europe, 8 patterns.

Table 22.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Percentage distribution of U.S. producers' and importers sales (based on value), by type of sales outlets, 1956, 1966-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969 $\frac{1}{2}$

Sales outlet			Producers	ers' sales			Importers	ters' es
	1956	1966	1967 2/	1968 2/	January-September 1968 2/ : 1969 2/	September 1969 2/	1956	1966 3/
Wholesalers and jobbers	33.8	30.9	30.0	34.4	34.3	33.6	20.4	12.2
and similar outlets: Jewelry stores, hardware stores,	27.4	21.2	24.8	26.9	26.7	24.7	53.3	54.9
independent drug stores and similar outlets	φ Φ	ب ح	3.4	t , 1	7	7	α α	80
Premium ware sales; Commercial users (botels res-	12.0	20.7	22.1	17.7	18.0	20.7	8.1	12.5
taurants, ets.): Manufacturers of flatware	10.7	13.7	11.7	12.7	12.8	13.9	1.0	2.1
Federal, state and local		1 0		, ~	· ·	ν α	u c	· -
Retail sales	- 1 ·L	;) -! c		7	, <u>/</u>	2/2	6.9	9.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.00	100.0
				••	••		••	

Data for importers are not available subsequent to 1966.

Excludes data for 4 producers who did not submit a questionnaire.

Based on data from importers that accounted for 63 percent of total imports in 1966.

Less than 0.05 percent.

Withheld to avoid disclosing figures for individual companies.

Table 22A.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Distribution of U.S. producers' sales by types of sales outlets, 1966-68, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

4.4	(1,000 d				
Sales outlet	1966	<u>1</u> / 1967	<u>1</u> / 1968	: January: :1/ 1968	-September :1/ 1969
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		:	:	:	
Wholesalers and jobbers:	21,481	: 21,808	: 24,380	: 17,207	: 16,484
Department stores, chain :		•	•	•	•
stores, and similar outlets -:	14,633	: 18,027	: 19,000	: 13,388	: 12,137
Jewelry stores, hardware :		•	:	:	•
stores, independent drug :		:	•	:	•
stores and similar outlets:	2,404	: 2,503	: 2,917	: 2,045	: 2,262
Premium ware sales:					: 10,156
Commercial users (hotels, :		:	:	: ,	:
restaurants, etc.):	9,459	: 8,537	: 9,014	: 6,432	: 6,834
Manufacturers of flatware:		: 229			90
Federal, state, and local :	-	:	:	:	:
governments:	4,781	: 5,298	: 2,425	: 1,689	: 881
Retail sales:	61	: 8	: 8	<u>:2</u> /	<u>:2</u> /
Other:	532	: 319	: 365	: 225	: 245
Total <u>3</u> /:					:2/
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		:	•	•	:

^{1/} Excludes data for 4 producers who did not submit questionnaires.

^{2/} Withheld to avoid disclosing figures for individual companies.

^{3/} Sales data in this table correspond roughly with that given in earlier tables, but differ somewhat in that some material imported by some U.S. producers is mixed in with sales of domestic merchandise, and could not be segregated by the manufacturers for this tabulation

Table 23.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Indexes of U.S. producers prices of their best-selling patterns in 1964, 1963-69

		(1961	+=[100)				
Unit of sale, :		•	:		•			
for which prices :	1963	: 1964	:	1965	: 1966	: 1967 :	1968:	1969
were reported :		:	•		:	: :		
:		:	:		•	•		
50-piece sets <u>l</u> /:	99	: 100	:	100	: 100	: 102 :	107 :	109
Open-stock: :		:	:		:	:		:
Teaspoons $2/$:	100	: 100	:	100	: 101	: 103 :	108	114
Dinner forks $2/$:	100	: 100	:	101	: 101	-		
Dinner knives 3/:	99	: 100	:	101	: 102		104	
Total (sets and open -:		:	-:		•	:		
stock):	100	: 100	:	100	: 101	: 103 :	107 :	111
:		:	:		:	: :		

^{1/28} patterns for 1963-67; 19 patterns for 1968 and 1969.

Note.--The indexes calculated from the producers' prices were derived from unweighted data. When the price data were weighted by the quantity of sales virtually similar results were obtained. As indicated, the indexes for 1968 and 1969 were derived from fewer patterns than for prior years; it is estimated that price data on the patterns omitted did not materially affect the indexes.

^{2/36} patterns for 1963-67; 23 patterns for 1968 and 1969.

^{3/34} patterns for 1963-67; 21 patterns for 1968 and 1969.

Table 24.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Indexes of U.S. producers' prices of their best-selling patterns in 1964, arrayed in groups on the basis of the average value of 1964 sales per dozen pieces, 1963-69

	:	(1964=100)				
Unit of sale	Average val	lue of sal	es per do	zen piece	s in 1964	All patterns
and year	Less than	: \$2.00- :	\$3.00- :	\$5.00- :	\$7.00 and	
:		: 2.99 :				
FO minos gota:		:		:		
50-piece sets:		: 100		100 :	96	99
1963					•	. 11
1964:						
1965:			- 1			
1966:						
1967:				-	•	
1968:	107	-	•	_		•
1969	110	: 99 :	109	: 118 :	118	109
Open-stock:		:	·			· •
Teaspoons:						•
1963	100	100	100	100	95	100
1964				-		
1965		-				
1966	101			-		=
1967	104					
1968			_			_
1969:	114	: 106	: 124	: 115 :	111	114
:		:	•	:	•	
Dinner forks:		:	:	:		:
1963:	100				-	
1964:	100	•		-	•	·
1965:	102	-	-		•	
1966:	103					
1967	105			-		
1968:	108				-	
. 1969:	113	: 99	: 116	: 118	: 120	: 112
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		:	:	:		
Dinner knives:	100	:	:	:		•
1963:	100					
1964:	100					
1965	: 101	-	•	-	-	•
1966				-		
1967		-		•	•	
1968				_		
1969:	: 117.	: 103	: 103	: 108	: 116	: 109
Total (sets and open stock):		:	: -	:	:	:
	100	•	. 00	-	. 07	: 100
1963 1964		=				: 100
-2-:		=			•	•
1965		-			-	-
1966:		-	-			•
1967:	105			-		
1968:	107					-
1969	: 114	: 102	: 113	: 115	: 116	: 111
		•	•	:	;	

Note.—The indexes calculated from the producers' prices were derived from unweighted data. When price data were weighted by the quantity of sales, virtually similar results were obtained. Indexes for 1968 and 1969 were derived from fewer patterns than for prior years; it is estimated that price data on the patterns omitted did not materially affect the indexes.

Table 25. -- Net profit-and-loss data on stainless-steel table flatware for U.S. manufacturers, 1959-69

Item	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	/1 6961
Number of reporting manufacturers ;	7.7	77	77	큐	†T	13	13	13	12	12	10
Percent of U.S. producers' total sales of stainless-steel table flatware accounted for by the reporting manufacturers		%	8	. 76	: : : : 76	8	#	8	w	~ · · · · · · ·	73
Ratio of the reporting producers's sales of stainless-steel table flatware to their sales of all products made in the same establishmentsPercent	27.	. 79				α α			α	ν	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Net sales of stainless-steel table : flatware1,000 dollars	37,866	37,155	39.626	42.548	. 054.74	54.717	59,709	. CC)) (C	37 720
Net operating profit or (loss) before income taxes 1,000 dollars:	1,281	1,241	1,094	1,844	2,179	5.059	5,409	5,463	7.641	7,270	1,408
Ratio of net operating profit or : (loss) to net salesPercent:	3.4.6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	8.8	4.3	1,6	9.5	9.1:	8,4	10.7	8.4.8	7.4
Number of manufacturers reporting	 N	m		····		 m		 CU	ν	9	₹
0/01 6 - 1-11 / 1	••	••	•	••	••	••	••	••	•	•	

and perhaps profits, are generally higher in the last half of a calendar year than in the first half, the data reported herein for 1969 may not be representative of the full year. In the period 1962-67, U.S. producers' sales of stainless-steel table flatware in the second half of the year have averaged 5.7 percent higher in terms of quantity, and 9.7 percent higher in terms of value, than sales in the first 1/ Data for 1969 reported herein represent operations for only part of the year, i.e., they include data for the full accounting year ending early in 1969 for one producer, and data for 6-month accounting periods for all other producers. Inasmuch as producers' sales, half of the year.

2/ Not available. Reporting producers are known to have accounted for at least 90 percent of total U.S. sales of stainless-steel table flatware in 1967-69.

Table 25A.--Relationship of profit-and-loss experience on stainless-steel table flatware to profits on all products for U.S. manufacturers of stainless-steel table flatware, 1959-69

Item	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969 1/
Total, all establishments: Number	16 144.9	139.9	141.1	17 : 17 : 142.1 :	145.1	155.4	178.6	17: 195.1	15 : 193.8	15 : 202.5 :	$\frac{2}{100.1}$
operations: Number	14	14 :	14:	14 :	14:	13 :	13 :	13 :	12 :	12 ::	3/10
Total, all productsmillions of dollars: Stainless-steel flatwaredo	142.3	137.3	138.7 : 39.7 :	139.4 : 42.5 :	142.2 : 47.4 :	145.1	169.8	183.4 : 64.8 :	188.4 : 71.5 :	197.6 : 69.2 :	95.0
Ratio of profits to sales: Total, all productspercent: Stainless-steel flatwaredo:	3.4	3.3	5.8:	6.4	6.9	9.6	11.4	11.4 :	11.8 :	11.4 : 8.4 :	10.2
Ratio of sales of stainless-steel flatware to total salespercent: Ratio of profits from stainless-steel	26.6	27.1	28.6	30.5	33.4 :	37.7	35.2	35.3	37.9	35.0 :	33.4
flatware to profits Oh all productspercent-:	12.4	16.4	13,5	20.7	22.1	36.5	28.0	26.1	34.3	25.7 :	14.5

1/ Data for 1969 reported herein represent operations for only part of the year, i.e., they include data for the full accounting year ending early in 1969 for one producer, and data for 6-month accounting periods for all other producers. Inasmuch as producers' sales, and perhaps profits, are generally higher in the last half of a calendar year than in the first half, the data reported herein for 1969 may not be representative of the full year. In the period 1962-67, U.S. producers' sales of stainless-steel table flatware in the second half of the year have averaged 5.7 percent higher in terms of quantity, and 9.7 percent higher in terms of

value, than sales in the first half of the year. $\overset{\circ}{2}$ with the exception of 2 producers, data are for six-month accounting periods. $\overline{3}/$ With the exception of 1 producer, data are for six-month accounting periods.

Table 25B.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Ratios of aggregate net operating profit or loss to aggregate net sales on stainless-steel flatware operations, by type of producers, 1959-69

: : :	. profit or (los	c) of aggregate ne ss) to aggregate n table flatware op	et sales on
Year	All Establishments	Establishments that produced predominantly stainless-steel table flat- ware 1/	Establishments that produced other products in substantial colume 2/
1959 1960 1961 1962 1963	3.4 3.3 2.8 4.3 4.6	5.1 : 2.9 : 2.0 :	3.0 2.7
1964 1965 1966 1967 1968	9.2 9.1 8.4 10.7 8.4 4.4	2.3 : 3.3 : 2.0 :	10.3 10.1 9.2 11.8 9.8 5.4

^{1/} Data are for 7 manufacturers for 1959-66, 6 manufacturers for 1967-68, and 4 manufacturers for 1969.

²/ Data are for 7 manufacturers for 1959-63, and 6 manufacturers for 1964-69.

^{3/} Data for 1969 reported herein represent operations for only part of the year, i.e., they include data for the full accounting year ending early in 1969 for one producer, and data for 6-month accounting periods for all other producers. Inasmuch as producers' sales, and perhaps profits, are generally higher in the last half of a calendar year than in the first half, the data reported herein for 1969 may not be representative of the full year. In the period 1962-67, U.S. producers' sales of stainless-steel table flatware in the second half of the year have averaged 5.7 percent higher in terms of quantity, and 9.7 percent higher in terms of value, than sales in the first half of the year.

.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Aggregate profit-and-loss data for U.S. manufacturers (expressed in percentages) for their stainless-steel table flatware operations, 1959-69 \pm Table 27.--Stainless-steel table flatware:

Item	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969 2/
	Percent	Percent:	Percent	Percent:	Percent:	Percent:	Percent :	Percent	Percent :	Percent:	Percent
Net sales	100.0	100.00	100.0	100.0	100.00	100.001	100.001	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Cost of goods sold:		• ••	• ••	•	•	••	••		••		((
Raw materials:	28.5	28.2 :	27.8 :	26.2	26.0 :	20.8	21.5 :	21.2	21.9	21.3:	21.7
Other materials:	6.2	5.5 :	4.5.	. 9 . 4	. 9.4	. 4.3	. t	. 7.4.7	 Mi	 આં	برار د
Direct labor	15.3	15.1:	14.9:	15.8:	15.2 :	14.5:	14.7 :	15.0	. 7.3.9	: 0.11	7.7
Indirect labor:	15.3	15.6:	14.9:	12.8	13.3:	12.6	12.6	12.9	 Mi		બોલ
Depreciation:	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.0:	2.0:	1.8	1.9	 vi)c	જોલ	ગોલ
Farments to contractors:	1			ı				. C	 مارد	না	নি
Other factory costs	13.1	15.3:	13.7	14.9	16.3:	19.7	18.7	19.7	34.5	. 0. 45.	† , , c
Inventory changes 4/:	· .	(1.5):	(0.2):	(1.0):	(1.0):	(1.1):	(0.0)	(1.9)	 ബ്	 J	ખ
Goods nurchased for resale:	7.7	1.5:	, s	1.9	2.2	2.1	1.9	1.5	1.4	2.2	3.9
Cost of goods sold	82.3	82.0	79.7	77.5	78.6	. 6.47	74.8	75.1	71.7	. 69.90	(K.9)
		α	ς 6			ר קל	25.2	54.9	28.3	30.1	27.1
Gross profit) ·) T		C•04		-	1	· ••			••	
administrative and selling					•••				••		
expenses:	•	••	•		••				ì		'n
Officers' salaries	0.8	. 2.0	0.7	0.7	. 0.7 :	9.0	 	. 0.5	 V	 سارک	√] ⊔
Other administrative expenses:	0.4	3.7:	0.4	۲. ۲.	3.6:	ж Ч	α α !	 2.0	 \}	\Ju	
Selling expenses	9.6	10.5	12.3	12.4	11.9	11.5	12.1	75.2	 	 	J.
Research and development			0.1	0.1	1	0.1	0.1	0.1	2/	77	77
Total selling and administrative :			۲ 4 ۲	۶ ۲۲	2,91	15.3	15.5	15.7	16.6	21.1	23.1
expenses	† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †		1	1						••	
Net operating profit	3.3	3.1	3.5	5.	5.2	8.6	9.7	9.5	: 11.7	0.6	0.4

1/ Data are for eight manufacturers for 1959-66, two of the eight manufacturers did not submit usable data for 1967-68, and three of the eight manufacturers did not submit such data for 1969.

2/ Data for 1969 reported herein represent operations for only part of the year, i.e., they include data for the full accounting year ending in 1969 for one producers, and data for 6-month accounting periods for all other producers. Inasmuch as producers, sales, and perhaps profits, are generally higher in the last half of a calendar year than in the first half, the data reported herein for 1969 may not be representative of the full year. In the period 1962-67, U.S. producers' sales of stainless-steel table flatware in the second half of the year have averaged 5.7 percent higher in terms of quantity, and 9.7 percent higher in terms of value, than sales in the first half of the year.

 $\frac{3}{4}$ Included in other factory costs. $\frac{4}{5}$ Goods in process and finished goods inventories. $\frac{5}{2}$ Detail not available.

Table 28.--Stainless-steel table flatware: Capital expenditures by U.S. producers for domestic production facilities used primarily in the manufacture of stainless-steel table flatware, 1960-1968, January-September 1968, and January-September 1969

	(In th	ot	usands of dolla	r	s)		
:	Land and	:	Buildings and	:	Machinery,	;	
Year :	land im-	;	lease-hold	:	equipment,	;	Totals
:	provements	:	improvements	:	and fixtures	;	
:		;		;		:	
1960:	3	:	57	:	380	:	440
1961:	-	:	282	:	660		942
1962:	-	:	326	:	$\frac{1}{1}$,751	:	$\frac{1}{2}$,077
1963:	2	:	30	:	1,029	:	1,061
1964:	15	:	145	:	1,132	:	1,292
1965:	216	:	586	:	1,141	:	1,943
1966:	653	:	826	:	1,830	:	3,309
1967 2/:	$\frac{3}{3}$:	137	:	1,450	;	3/
$1968 \overline{2}/:$	3/	:	3/	:	3,268		$\frac{3}{3}$
JanSept.: :		:		:	•	:	,
1968 2/:	3/	:	3/	:	2,266	. :	3/
$1969 \ \overline{2}/$:	160	:	<u>3</u> /	:	1,018		$\frac{3}{3}$
Total, Jan. :		-:-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	;	······································	-; ·	
1960-Sept. :		•		:	•	:	
1969:	<u>3</u> /	:	3/	:	13,659	•.	3/
•			_ .				

^{1/} Includes the purchase of existing machinery and equipment of one company by another company, which did not report separately its purchases of new and used machinery and equipment.

^{2/} Excludes data for 4 producers who did not submit questionnaires.

^{3/} Withheld to avoid disclosing figures for individual companies.